

# SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, April 19, 2024

## THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING

### SOMB MEMBERS

Amanda Retting  
Carl Blake  
Casey Ballinger  
David Bourgeois  
Gary Kramer  
Hannah Pilla  
Jason Lamprecht  
Jeff Baker  
Jesse Hansen  
Jessica Dotter  
Katie Abeyta  
Kent Vance  
Mike Knotek  
Nicole Feltz  
Norma Aguilar-Dave  
Priscilla Loew  
Sarah Croog  
Taber Powers  
Theresa Weiss

### SOMB GUESTS

A. Bishop	Kyle Jones
Alane Holsteen	Laurie Kepros
Amira Minazzi	Maggie Sahlieh
Andrea Paolucci	Marcie Hotell
Andrew Smith	Marsha Brewer
Anthony Wardell	Meggan Greenwald
Beth George	Natasha Kindred
Carlos A. Jaime	Pat Harris
CeCelia Garcia	Peggy Kindig
Conrad Gonzales	Richard G.
Danny Venman	Roger Kincade
Gary Reser	Ruby Jaime Soto
Holly Harris	Sarah Marlow
Janira Pacheco	Sheena S.
Jeffrey G.	Stephanie Reed
Jonathan Doern	Stepler E.
Judie Kunze	Tara Saulibio
Kaitlyn Dewitt	Tim Tomasso
Kat Gatseos	Wellesley Bush
Kristin Kubacki	Yvette Cousins

**Absent SOMB Members:** Gregg Kildow, Kimberly Kline, Lisa Mayer, and Michelle Simmons

**Staff Present:** Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Erin Austin, Jill Trowbridge, Kelly Lippitt, Paige Brown, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez, Taylor Kriesel, and Yuanting Zhang

**SOMB Meeting Begins:** 9:34 am

This meeting was recorded.

### INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced herself and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself.

Taylor Kriesel (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the Webex components for the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She asked all to state their names for clarity in the minutes.

The SOMB in-person members introduced themselves, and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves, and Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

**Board:**

None

**Audience:**

None

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

**Staff:**

Taylor Kriesel (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Training
  - Standards and Policy Booster - on 5/9 and will be virtual - Providers only.
  - Sonics Training - on 6/4 in Ft. Collins
- Conference Updates:
  - Registration opens Monday, April 29<sup>th</sup>.
  - Taylor Kriesel will send registration instructions to the Board members.
  - Conference Dates - 7/9 - 7/12/24 at the Beaver Run Resort and Conference Center located in Breckenridge, CO
  - Pre-Conference sessions will be held on 7/9.
  - There will be recorded session access available.

***Norma Aguilar-Dave joined the meeting virtually at 9:40 am***

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (ODVSOM Program Manager) announced the following:

- Working on Board Engagement Standard Operating Procedure has been written up and will be included in the New Board packet and training. -He noted that this will be finalized in the next month or two.
- He asked Board members to send their Bios and headshots to Taylor Kriesel as part of the onboarding packet.
- SONICS - Sex Offense Categorization system which moves away from Low, Medium, High risk assessment for evaluators and is based on average risk and standard deviations (average risk, below average risk, above average risk, and well above average risk categories.) He indicated that Dr. Colton McNutt, Dr. Sadighi, Hannah Pilla, and Dr. Rodriguez worked and created this new risk evaluation tool and indicated that there will be 4 trainings throughout the State in the next year to include 1 training for non-evaluators (morning session) and 1 training for evaluators (afternoon training.) Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that a pilot process will be set up using COPs. He noted that the SONICS manual is currently on the website and indicated that this is an optional tool that evaluators should start using during the next year.
- He announced that April 20<sup>th</sup> is the 25<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Columbine high school shooting. There was a moment of silence in memory of this tragic event.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

- The Board members should complete the annual Conflict of Interest form that was sent to them and noted that those who have a financial disclosure should state that during this time. Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) disclosed her financial disclosure regarding the contracts she currently has with Judicial and various other agencies.
- Raechel Alderete noted due to a request for a future agenda item that revisions to Section 3.60 (Treatment of Clients Within the Department of Corrections) will be made regarding treatment for those who are incarcerated within the Department of Corrections or on Parole. She noted there will be an update to the Board when the changes have been made.

- Raechel Alderete announced that Judge Gary Kramer will be leaving the Board and acknowledged his great commitment and input while a member on the Board. Judge Kramer thanked the SOMB Board and Staff and expressed his joy in working with these folks.

**Board Announcements:**

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) announced that April is Sexual Assault Awareness Month and Child Abuse Awareness Month. She discussed a case from 1992 where an Italian court overturned a sexual assault conviction case and noted that April 24<sup>th</sup> is Denim Day. Jessica Dotter indicated that HB24-1072 is waiting to be signed by the Governor which reduces victim blaming and protects them from overturned convictions due to what the victim was wearing that indicated sexual consent. She noted to watch for this case in the news.

**Audience Announcements:**

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) announced that April is 2<sup>nd</sup> Chance Month which recognizes the promise of new beginnings for those returning to the community from prison or jail.

**APPROVE AGENDA**

The agenda was approved by consensus.

**APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 2024 SOMB MINUTES (Decision Item): (Attachment #1)**

*Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the March 2024 SOMB Minutes as presented.*

*Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Motion to approve the March 2024 SOMB Minutes as presented: Sarah Croog; Theresa Weiss 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #1)**

16	Approve	0	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Norma Aquilar-Dave voted Yes verbally.

Carl Blake voted Yes verbally.

Judge Loew voted Yes verbally.

Jason Lamprecht voted Yes verbally.

**APPLYING RISK ASSESSMENT RESEARCH TO POLICY AND PRACTICE (Presentation): (No Attachment) (Training Credit) - Dr. Maaike Helmus**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) introduced Dr. Maaike Helmus and the presentation/training she conducted on 4/18/24 on Risk Assessment Research as it relates to policy and cross-cultural validation. He then introduced Dr. Helmus and gave a brief background of her expertise and experience.

Dr. Maaike Helmus presented the following information as highlighted below:

- Overview
- Is research in Canada/USA/Australia/New Zealand relevant to other countries?
- Black and Indigenous people in Canada/US
- Intergenerational Trauma (APA Dictionary)
- Rates of Childhood Sexual Abuse
- Are all ethnic minorities overrepresented?
- Research Paper - Brankley et al. (2023, submitted) - History Matters: Racial Variation in the Prevalence of Sexual Offence Convictions
- BC-RAPSA-D Data
- R. v. Ewert (2018) - Canadian Supreme Court of Canada decision
- How should we conduct risk assessments for diverse relevant subgroups?
- Key Considerations
- How should we validate risk assessment tools?

- What do we mean by racial bias in risk tools?
- Research Article: Exploring the Various Interpretations of “Test Bias”
- Research Paper - Warne et al. (2014) - 5 Types of Test Bias
- Research Paper - Racial fairness in violence risk instruments: A Review of the Literature
- 5 Common Types of Fairness
- Research Comparison: Warne et al. (2014) Test Bias / Ashford et al. (2021) Test Fairness
- Skeem & Lowenkamp (2016): Risk Tools (predictive bias & disparate impact)
- Mr. Maaike Helmus’ paper: Cross-Cultural Validity of Sexual Recidivism Risk Assessments Using Static-99R....
- What do we actually care about? Tool bias, Evaluator bias, Societal bias, and Solutions
- Are there predictive biases in risk tools?
- Meta-analysis - Olver et al. (2014): Thirty Years of Research on the Level
- Research Article - Too Risky to Use, or Too Risky Not to?
- Meta-Method paper - Olver, Stockdale, Helmus, Woods, Termeer, & Prince (2023)
- Prediction of General Recidivism by General Risk/Need Tools - graph (indigenous/non-indigenous)
- Prediction of Violent Recidivism by Violence Risk Tools - graph (indigenous/non-indigenous)
- Meta Analysis Paper - Simran Ahmed, et. Al. (2023): Predictive Accuracy of Static-99R Across Different Racial/Ethnic Groups
- Research Paper - Predictive Validity of Static-99R Among 8,207 Men Convicted of Sexual Crimes in South Korea: A Prospective Field Study
- Are Evaluators Biased? (natural and nuanced bias toward race)
- Are We Getting Better?
- Laypersons: Indigenous offenders and parole
- Is Society Biased?
- We don’t have all the Solutions.
- Research Paper - Helmus, et. Al. (2023): Cross-Cultural Validity of Sexual Recidivism Risk Assessments Using Static-99R, STABLE-2007, and the VRS-SO
- Sexual Recidivism Risk Assessment: Very Broad Conclusions (Helmus et al., 2024)
- Advice for risk assessment with Indigenous Offenders
- SAARNA Paper - Using Stasis-99R and STABLE-2007 with Indigenous men in Canada, the United States, Australia, and New Zealand.
- SAARNA Statement (2023) and conclusions from the paper
- Research Paper - Olver, et. Al. (2024): Too Risky to Use, or Too Risky Not to? Lesson Learned from over 30 years of research on Forensic Risk Assessment with Indigenous Persons
- Picking Tool for indigenous Clients
- Harms of Using vs Not Using Risk Tools?
- Meta-Analysis - Wilson, et.al.: A Meta-Analysis Examining the Predictive Ability of the Level of Service Inventory (LSI) with Aboriginal Offenders
- Aboriginal vs. non-Aboriginal Predictive Recidivism Graph
- Indigenous Offender Risk Assessment
- Other suggestions on Picking Tools - What is Minimally Good enough?
- Position Paper - Helmus, et. al.: What Risk Assessment Tools can be Used with Men Convicted of Child Sexual Exploitation Material Offenses? Recommendations From a Review of Current Research
- Considerations for Using a Risk Scale
- Update of the SAARNA Gender Working Group
- 2016 Coding Rules
- Process
- Background/Anecdotal Evidence
- Static-99R Considerations
- SAARNA - Static-99R FAQ and Other Updates (2024)
- Static-99R: Use for Appropriate Populations
- Stasis-99R: Any Male Victim - Updated Guidance
- Joint Committee Standards (2014)
- What Do We Actually Care About and Solutions?
  - Biases in Tools - Need more research; need better tools.
  - Biases in Evaluators - Need better training.
  - Biases in Society - a million things that advance equality; use the most effective and preventative solutions available.

**Board Discussion:**

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) asked how the results are measured regarding research of biases by evaluators when using in-person evaluation data vs. artificial evaluation data. She indicated that biases are more nuanced in a simulated setting when not evaluating clients face-to-face. Dr. Helmus (Presenter) discussed a research program using ai and avatars of youth that simulated real-world situations by changing skin color, or other ethnic features and changed the questions to be appropriate for each culture or situation.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that he works with many native Americans and asked Dr. Helmus if there are any indications that the STABLE Risk Assessment is over predicting or under predicting risk. Dr. Helmus (Presenter) responded that the "slope" is reduced because it is not predicting as well and noted that indigenous populations are reoffending more. She supposed that they be more apt to be caught due to over policing. Dr. Helmus noted that indigenous offenders are more likely to plead guilty in Canada, and indicated it is not known if this is a trait of indigenous cultures.

Hannah Pilla (SOMB Member) thanked Dr. Helmus for this presentation and expressed worry about some of the indigenous these issues when writing up evaluations. She indicated difficulty writing up the caveats or limitations of the evaluation tools, and asked if she should she cite current research in the evaluation. Dr. Helmus (Presenter) responded yes, she should, and suggested using the Joint Committee Guidelines. She also noted the need to address if the cultural or gender identity affects the risk to reoffend, what are the characteristics of the core risk factors for crime.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) asked about the timing of when the instruments are used when evaluating cross-cultural clients, if these instruments can be used for those in the diversion, and if there is relevant research for these clients. Dr. Helmus (Presenter) responded that there is not a lot of research available for those populations but noted that the Static 99-R is good and indicated that STABLE can be used for some groups. Dr. Helmus mentioned that there are numerous specific differences between individual indigenous groups while some areas are universal across all indigenous groups.

**Audience Discussion:**

Kyle Jones (Audience Member) asked if denial by indigenous clients is a risk factor for those who sexually offend or just for domestic violence offenders. Dr. Helmus (Presenter) responded that there is a difference for those populations who sexually offend and those who commit domestic violence. She noted that in general, Indigenous offenders are less likely to deny.

Luarie Kepros (Audience Member) asked about Colorado's prison treatment program where all are getting Static Assessment Scores and noted that some with high static scores are released without access to treatment. She asked Dr. Helmus for her perspective on the appropriate use of the Static 99-R and if it is useful to prioritize the highest risk clients which will provide the most impact due to limited resources. Dr. Helmus noted that treatment should be given a high priority and indicated the need to get more resources to offer treatment to more individuals. She mentioned that people who score higher on Static Risk assessments also score higher on Dynamic Risk assessments, and noted to use that assessment to determine when Dynamic Risk assessments are indicated.

**BREAK: 11:36 - 11:43 am**

**ADULT STANDARDS REVISION COMMITTEE, DISCHARGE SUMMARIES (Decision Item): (Attachment #2) - Erin Austin, Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, ASR Chair**

Erin Austin (Adult Standards Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item as a decision item, noted the process in the revisions to the Discharge Summaries which include considering client risk-need-responsivity and continuity of care, and then deferred the discussion to Taber Powers (Adult Standards Revisions Chair.)

Taber Powers (Adult Standards Revisions Chair) highlighted the revisions made to the Discharge Summaries section and the public comment received as follows:

- This was reviewed at the same time as the Treatment Plans
- The Adult Standards Revisions and the Best Practices Committees agreed that the public comments received will be handled through implementation and training.
- He indicated that this is a decision item and to expect to vote of these revisions.

**Board Discussion:**

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked about the public comment regarding moving the “Maximum Benefit” under the Administrative Discharge category would be appropriate. Taber Powers (Adult Standards Revisions Committee) responded that this was separated purposefully as this is a new category and noted the need to highlight this rare specific circumstance.

**Audience Discussion:**

None

*Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Revisions to the SOMB Discharge Summaries as presented. Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

**Motion to approve the Revisions to the SOMB Discharge Summaries as presented: Sarah Croog; Jesse Hansen 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #2)**

18	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Judge Loew voted Yes in the Chat.

Norma Aguilar-Dave voted Yes verbally.

**BREAK:** 11:55 am - 12:30 pm

**RECOGNITION OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AWARENESS MONTH (Presentation): (No Attachment) - Kelly Kissell, Program Manager, Office for Victims Programs (OVP)**

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) announced the agenda item and introduced Kelly Kissell, Program Manager of the Office for Victims Programs (OVP.) She then asked for support of Denim Day (4/24/24) which acknowledges sexual assault.

Kelly Kissell (OVP Program Manager) introduced herself and discussed the loss of victim service funding due to Government cutbacks. She then gave a brief background of the OVP and introduced an overview of the Victim Service Funding in Colorado as follows:

- VOCA Funding (Victims of Crime Act)
- VOCA Funding Information
- How VOCA is Funded
- VOCA Cap
- FY 2007 - FY 2024 Crime Victims Fund End of Year Balance - Graph
- FY 2007 - FY 2024 Crime Victims Fund Annual Receipts - Graph
- CDPS - VOCA Award Amounts - Chart - 2016 - 2024
- Crime Victim Services - '23/'24 Funding Cycle
- CY25 Grantee Awards
- Impact of Funding Cuts
- VOCA - Fact Sheet
- Local VALE (Victim Assistance in Law Enforcement)
- State Revenue Sources
- Local VALE Revenue vs Requests - Graph
- What's coming for CY'25
- VALE Revenue Distribution - Pie Chart
- State VALE - Competitive Grants
- Local Victim Compensation Programs
- ARPA/SLFRF - American Rescue Plant Act/State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds
- ARPA for Victim Services
- 2023 Legislative Session - HB23-1107, HB23-1199, and SB23-214

Kelly Kissell (OVP Program Manager) noted that \$6 million was requested from the State to offset the reduced Federal Crime Victim funds.

**Board Discussion:**

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) asked if the wide variability of the fund distribution from the Federal Government is the same for other Departments and Divisions grant formulation. Kelly Kissell (OVP Program Manager) responded that it was asked of Alyssa Dahlen (OVP Research Analyst) to research to see if the variability is nationwide, and what solutions may be available. Kelly Kissell also noted that a repeating cap on the fund allocated would be more helpful than the fluctuating amount of funds currently allocated.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) indicated that having unfunded victim representatives on sex offender treatment teams due to loss of funding is also causing a shortfall in services. She indicated the need to keep recognizing these funding shortfalls and to remember this when creating and revising standards and policies.

Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) noted there is a huge lack of resources available for survivors, and she indicated that by taking away more funding is very detrimental to victim services. Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) noted that there are possible victim resource closures due to these shortfalls, and that a lack of victim housing is reducing victim safety.

**Audience Discussion:**

None

**VICTIM ADVOCACY PANEL IN RECOGNITION OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AWARENESS MONTH (Panel Discussion/Presentation): (No Attachment) (Training Credit available) Paige Brown, Implementation Specialist and Cecelia Garcia, Katie Abeyta, Casey Ballinger, Tara Saulibio, Alane Holsteen, Kaitlyn Dewitt, and Andrea Paolucci**

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced herself, the Victim Services agenda item, and facilitated the panel discussion as follows:

She thanked all the panel members and warned the audience that some of the information presented may impact personal trauma. The panel representatives then introduced themselves.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) asked Cecelia Garcia the following question:

“What victim advocacy entities did she work with, sharing her experience as it pertains to the victim services at each stage throughout the process - what worked well, what didn’t, and how were those transitions for you.”

Cecelia Garcia (Sexual Assault Survivor) discussed her sexual assault, the entire process she encountered, and the difficulties finding victim services. The panel members responded to CeCe’s survivor experience.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) asked the panel how their agency interface with other agencies and how that transferring works.

Casey Ballinger (Panel Member) noted the need to empower the system to be more collaborative.

Katie Abeyta (Panel Member) noted her agency serves clients who are survivors and discussed all the agencies and survivor programs they offer and interact with. She indicated that victims can be brought into a case at any stage of the case.

Kaitlyn Dewitt (Panel Member) of SART victim services in the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial District echoed the previous sentiments and noted that their services collaborate with many system-based advocate programs and community-based services. She indicated that they also work with victim representatives on the Community Supervision Teams (CSTs) for offender post-conviction treatment.

Alane Holsteen (Panel Member) with the Jefferson County District Attorney’s Office indicated that her agency works with children and teen victims and noted that she does not always hear when a law enforcement-based advocate has reached out to her. Alane Holsteen indicated that there are gaps in the system and noted the need to collaborate more between systems. She highlighted Porch Light (Family Justice Center) for advocacy help located in Jefferson County.

Andrea Paolucci (Panel Member) with Denver Probation works together with all community-based agencies. She indicated that they meet monthly to review cases and the needs of the clients and noted that this system appears to be working well. Kaitlyn Dewitt mentioned that they offer services with the focus on pre-conviction cases to facilitate healing of the survivor and noted that all agencies know what the expertise is of each agency.

Tara Saulibio (Panel Member), Victim DOC Representative indicated that she works closely with the victim services unit who assigns her a case during parole hearings. She noted her role is to offer support during and after parole hearings, communicating results, and planning for next steps if the offender is released or is on Parole. Tara Saulibio indicated she also works with SOMB staff, case managers, and parole officers, and to communicate concerns that victims have. She noted the need for more DOC system-based programs along with community victim representative to ensure continuity of victim care between systems.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) asked the panel how victim service agencies can collaborate to ensure the survivor has a better experience.

Casey Ballinger (Panel Member) noted the need to understand other service roles to ensure there are no gaps in services for survivors, especially during critical times during the criminal justice process. She also indicated the need for all the agencies to collaborate and communicate.

Tara Saulibio (Panel Member) noted the head spinning nature of all the information that is present for a survivor and echoed the need for system-based collaboration with community-based advocacy who can better fill the needs of survivors. She mentioned the need to see the big picture and not be siloed to strengthen inter-agency relationships. Tara Saulibio mentioned that the role of Probation in victim services is to offer outreach with victims immediately after sentencing if victim would like to be enrolled in the victim notification system. She noted that sometimes there can be 2 years without contact with the victim and emphasized the needed collaboration between system and community-based advocacy.

Katie Abeyta (Panel Member) noted the need for community-wide emphasis on removing territorial stigmas when working with survivor advocacy, and stressed the need for transparent feedback in that they are all in this together to help the survivors. Katie Abeyta mentioned the need to help agencies who may not have the right services or may not be able to help a survivor.

Alane Holsteen (Panel Member) discussed when sentencing (offender probation) to ensure the victim impact statement paperwork to victims to build trust in the victims in the next stage and give them the tools to face what's next. She noted the need to trust the community-based agencies who can connect with survivors in ways that system-based agencies cannot. Alane Holsteen mentioned the need to keep in touch with Parole and where the offender is in treatment and the process to let the survivor know and prepare themselves.

Kaitlyn Dewitt (Panel Member) noted the need to stay victim-centered and offender focus to work better together. She indicated that it helps the survivors when all victim services collaborate, so the victim knows what the next step is.

Tara Saulibio (Panel Member) agreed with the previous statements and noted the need to connect with folks in the Parole process to fully inform survivors and better connect between systems.

Cecelia Garcia (Panel Member) noted the need to break the cycle of coddling offenders and expressed the need to focus and collaborate with all agencies for survivors to fully be empowered after their ordeal.

#### **Board Discussion:**

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) thanked all for this discussion. She then asked why there is no continuity of care for survivors in the Standards. Raechel Alderete then stressed the importance of having a collaborative mindset and always having the victim representative on teams.

Andrea Paolucci (Panel Member) commented on why there is no continuity of care for survivors and indicated that this could be due to the high turnover of workers in the various agencies with a lot of knowledge is lost during those transitions. Alane Holsteen (Panel Member) noted that many of the current survivor programs will be impacted by the upcoming VALE cuts.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) indicated there is a resource handout on the Website for victim advocacy. Andrea Paolucci (Panel Member) indicated that the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial District is currently using that resource.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) thanked all on the panel. He indicated that the high turnover and loss of knowledge in the victim advocacy area is also representative of what is occurring with post-conviction services, Probation, and everywhere in the criminal justice system.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) thanked all the presenters for the work they do to help survivors.



**Audience Discussion:**

None

**ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE, TREATMENT PLANS (Action Item): (Attachment #3) - Erin Austin, Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, ASR Chair**

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) She then introduced the agenda item and reviewed the current revisions to Section 3.160 B. H. in the Individualized Treatment Plans. She noted the inclusion in the Individual Treatment Plans - Item H. shall: "Support" victim impact...

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) then deferred to Taber Powers (ASR Chair) for further discussion regarding this revision.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that this is an action item and requires a vote to send out the Individual Treatment Plan revisions for public comment. He noted that no additional changes were made.

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**

None

*Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to send out the Revisions to the SOMB Treatment Plans Section 3.160 for public comment.*

*Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Motion to send out the Revisions to the SOMB Treatment Plans Section 3.160 for Public Comment: Sarah Croog; Jeff Baker 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #3)**

14	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Hanna Pilla voted Yes verbally.

Norma Aguilar-Dave voted Yes verbally.

Judge Loew voted Yes in the chat.

Taylor Kriesel (SOMB Special Projects Coordinator) announced for all to sign out at the kiosk by the front door before leaving the building.

**BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 2:09 pm**

Respectfully,

**Jill Trowbridge**

Digitally signed by Jill Trowbridge  
Date: 2024.08.22 12:13:54  
-06'00'

Jill Trowbridge  
Program Assistant

Date



Kimberly Kline  
Chair of the SOMB

8-22-24

Date

Questions: 3

Results Detail

Last Name	First Name	Q1 Motion to Approve the March Minutes as Amended	Q2 Motion to Approve the Discharge Summary Revisions as Presented	Q3 Motion to Send the Revisions to Section 3.160 out for Public Comment as Presented
Abeyta	Katie	1	1	1
Aguilar-Dave	Norma	1 - Verbally	1 - Verbally	1
Baker	Jeff	1	1	1
Ballinger	Casey	1	1	NP
Blake	Carl	1 - Verbally	1	NP
Bourgeois	David	1	1	1
Croog	Sarah	1	1	1
Dotter	Jessica	1	NP	NP
Feltz	Nicole	1	1	NP
Hansen	Jesse	3	1	1
Kline	Kim	Absent	Absent	Absent
Kildow	Gregg	Absent	Absent	Absent
Knotek	Mike	3	1	1
Kramer	Gary	1	1	NP
Pilla	Hannah	1	1	1 - Verbally
Mayer	Lisa	Absent	Absent	Absent
Lamprecht	Jason	1 - Verbally	1	1
Loew	Priscilla	1 - Verbally	1 - Verbally	1 - Verbally
Retting	Amanda	NP	1	1
Powers	Taber	1	1	1
Simmons	Michelle	Absent	Absent	Absent
Weiss	Theresa	1	1	1
Vance	Kent	1	1	1
		<b>16 - Yes</b>	<b>18 - Yes</b>	<b>14 - Yes</b>
		<b>0 - No</b>	<b>0 - No</b>	<b>0 - No</b>
		<b>2 - Abstain</b>	<b>0 - Abstain</b>	<b>0 - Abstain</b>

Answer Key:

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

NP = Not present at time of vote

Norma Aguilar-Dave joined the meeting virtually at 9:40 am

CDPS is committed to the full inclusion of all individuals, and we are continually making changes to improve accessibility and usability of our services. As part of this commitment, CDPS is prepared to offer reasonable accommodations for those who have difficulty engaging with our content. As an example, documents can be produced in an alternative file format upon request. To request this and other accommodations, or to discuss your needs further, please contact me at 303-653-7819 or via email at [jill.trowbridge@state.co.us](mailto:jill.trowbridge@state.co.us).