SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, August 18, 2023

THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING

SOMB Members

Amanda Retting Carl Blake **David Bourgeois** Gary Kramer Gregg Kildow Hannah Pilla Ivonne Sierra Jason Lamprecht Jeff Baker Jessica Dotter Kent Vance Katie Abeyta **Kimberly Kline** Lisa Mayer Mike Knotek Nicole Feltz Norma Aguilar-Dave Priscilla Loew Sarah Croog Taber Powers Theresa Weiss

<u>Guests</u>

Abi Olson Alison Talley Amira Minazzi Angelina Weant Beryimar Perozo Trejo Cole Woodward Conrad Gonzales **Danielle Lewis** Deb Baty Ellen Stein Wallace Gary Bradford Gary Reser Holly Harris Jordan Hartley Kristin Kubacki **Kyle Jones** Lauren Rivas Laurie Kepros Marsha Brewer Mike Johnson

Missy Gursky Pat Harris Roger Kincade Sara Gatewood Sarah Marlow Tami Floyd Tanya Ahamed Tanya Ahamed Tara Saulibio Wellesley Bush Wendy Lerner Yazmine Moore

Absent SOMB Members: Casey Ballinger, Sarah Croog, Jesse Hansen, and Michelle Simmons

Staff Present: Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Erin Austin, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Taylor Redding, Jill Trowbridge, and Yuanting Zhang

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:05 am

This meeting was recorded.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself, and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself.

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the WebEx components of the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She mentioned for all state their names for clarity in the minutes.

The SOMB members in-person introduced themselves, and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

Raechel Alderete introduced the SOMB members attending online.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced and welcomed Judge Priscilla Loew as the new Juvenile Judge Representative. Priscilla Loew (new SOMB Member) then introduced herself and described her past experience.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves, and Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board:

None

Audience:

Laure Kepros (Audience Member) requested a future presentation on the use of Static 99R with clients who are indigent and clients from other cultural backgrounds.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Training
 - Clinical Supervision 8/25/23 Domestic Violence (DV)/Sex Offender (SO) Providers Lakewood Police Department
 - SOMB 100 8/31/23 in Westminster
 - Racial and Generational Trauma 9/18/23 Westminster DV/SO Providers
- Conference Updates:
 - Currently uploading the Conference sessions She will notify all when then they are complete.
 - The videos will be available for 90 days once all the videos have been uploaded
 - SOMB Strategic Planning Retreat 10/20/23 Colorado Springs, CO
- SOMB Traveling Board Meeting Tentatively scheduled for April 2024

Rachael Collie (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

Lifetime Supervision Survey has been sent on 8/11/23 regarding the Lifetime Supervision Annual Report which asks all treatment
providers, polygraph examiners, and evaluators, to answer the questions relevant to their field. She indicated that the data is
used to determine the level of service coverage across the State, the average costs across the State, to indicate service impact
across the State, and the ability to staff their agencies. She asked for providers to complete this survey with 1 response per
agency.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reminded the treatment providers, evaluators, and polygraph examiners that the SOMB renewals are due 8/31/23, and asked all to update the license expiration date and any new licenses in the Provider Data Management System (PDMS.)

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

- Indicated that Judge Sharon Holbrook has left the SOMB and recognized Judge Sharon Holbrook's service on the Board.
- Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) has accepted and been reappointed to a second term on the SOMB.

• The Quarterly Newsletter update – and reminded all to reach out to the office if you are not receiving this newsletter, and she noted to share this newsletter information with their colleagues.

Board Announcements:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) who represents juvenile providers on the Board, announced that he will step down from the Chair position on Juvenile Standards Revision Committee. Any Board members interested in chairing this committee, should contact Raechel Alderete.

Audience Announcements:

None

APPROVAL OF MAY MINUTES: (Attachment #1)

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the May Minutes as presented. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session: #726567

	0 Oppose	2 Abstain	Motion Passes
Norma Aguilar Date voted	– Yes online		
Hannah Pilla – Yes online			
Greg Kildow – Yes online			
	MINUTES: (Attachment #2)		
APPROVAL OF JULI	$\frac{\text{MINUTES}}{\text{MINUTES}} \cdot \frac{\text{(Allachment #2)}}{\text{(Allachment #2)}}$		
-		approve the July Minutes as amen	ded.
Lisa Mayer (SOMB M	ember) 2 nd the motion.		
Board Discussion:			
None			
Voting Session #: 72	.6567		
Motion to approve th	e July Minutes as amended:	Taber Powers; Lisa Mayer 2 nd (Que	stion #2)
17 Approve	0 Oppose	2 Abstain	Motion Passes
Norma Aguilar-Dave voted			
Greg Kildow voted – Yes o			
-	Verbally		
Judge Kramer voted – Yes			
Judge Kramer voted – Yes APPROVE AGENDA			
Judge Kramer voted – Yes	ved by consensus.		
Judge Kramer voted – Yes APPROVE AGENDA	ved by consensus.		
APPROVE AGENDA The agenda was approv EXECUTIVE SESSION	FOR LEGAL COUNSULTATIO	N RELATED TO THE 2023 CONFEREN	ICE (Board Members Only): Daniell
APPROVE AGENDA The agenda was approv EXECUTIVE SESSION Lewis and Cole Wood	FOR LEGAL COUNSULTATIO		

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) gave her name, the date of 8/18/2023 and the time of 9:32 am. She announced that this is a meeting of the Sex Offender Management Board specifically for the purpose of receiving legal advice on specific legal questions related to the ODVSOM conference.

Time: 9:32 am

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Member) made a motion to move into executive session for the purpose of discussing matters that are confidential pursuant to Colorado Revised Statute 24-6-402(3)(a)(II). Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

It was noted for the record that Carl Blake's votes were cast under the name of Casey Ballinger due to a technical error.

Voting Session #: 726567

Motion to move into Executive Session: Kimberly Kline; Jeff Baker 2 nd (Question #3)								
19	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes		

Members of the public were asked to leave the room while the SOMB members go into Executive Session. Taylor Redding asked on-line guests to log-off WebEx and indicated that she will email them when the Executive Session is over so they can rejoin the general meeting.

Danielle Lewis (Attorney General's Office) introduced Cole Woodward of the Attorney General's Office.

ENTERED INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION: 9:41 am

EXITED EXECUTIVE SESSION: 10:52

Jason Lamprecht joined the meeting at 9:48 am. Lisa Mayer left the meeting at 9:55 am. Lisa Mayer joined the meeting at 11:02 am.

BREAK: 10:48 – 11:06 am

The Board reconvened. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) made the following statement:

• "We as a Board wanted to make a public statement that the SOMB is committed to an inclusive environment. The SOMB is committed to ensuring that we provide an unbiased and therapeutic response to the treatment of sexual violence. And to this end, the Board is going to engage with a facilitator that is an expert in this area to further our discussion. Our goal is to ensure that our Standards contain strong practices and procedures surrounding inclusivity and that this will occur at a future public board meeting."

<u>PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL BOUNDARIES DISCUSSION (Action Item): (Attachment #2)</u> - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist; Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, ODVSOM Program Manager; and Danielle Lewis, Office of the Attorney General

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) introduced the Psychoeducational Boundaries (a/k/a Healthy Sexuality or Sexual Boundaries) Treatment discussion agenda item. He indicated that the discussion today will be to decide what the next steps will be regarding psychoeducational boundaries and treatment. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that this is a form of treatment that some treatment providers are currently using. He noted the need to review the purview and responsibilities of the Board related the use of psychoeducational boundaries treatment. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky reviewed the function of the Board in the creation of standards that the providers are to abide by. He indicated that the Application Review Committee is in charge of oversight of the providers to ensure they are following the Standards. The staff of the Board is to interpret the Standards and to provide technical assistance and training for the providers. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky then reviewed the general purview and the mission of the Board in various situations. He indicated that related to psychoeducational boundaries treatment that some treatment providers will modify treatment for those juveniles who are at lower risk, and indicated that this treatment is now being used for various adult cases. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the Board

has purview over cases when there is a conviction or adjudication. He mentioned that the issue is whether the SOMB has purview over treatment providers who are using this treatment for cases where there is not an adjudication or conviction.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) welcomed Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) and Danielle Lewis (Office of the Attorney General) to direct discussion regarding psychoeducational boundaries. He noted the treatment providers have raised questions and noted the need for options to direct providers in these situations to be able to stay within the Standards. Chris L. indicated that there is not any research related to the use of this type of treatment, and noted that the use of the variance process is currently being used for this alternate treatment. He mentioned the need to gather variance data to see how often this treatment is being used, and if it should be indicated in the Standards.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted this is more of a concern for the adult providers than the juvenile providers. She reviewed situations where a provider is using full-offense specific treatment for a client along with boundaries treatment which is then not going against the Standards. She also indicated that the Juvenile Standards allow for modifications to treatment which could include boundaries treatment. Erin Austin mentioned that issues arise when an evaluation indicates that the client may not need full offense specific treatment as the client may be low risk or may not need every component of offense-specific treatment. She reviewed some of the situations where these outlier cases come into play. Erin Austin also noted that sometimes healthy sexuality treatment is ordered by the courts, and the providers are reluctant to give this treatment due to restrictions in the Standards. Erin Austin indicated that evaluators have said that they should have a say in the type of treatment based on risk-need-responsivity. She noted that a guidance document was created which did not fully cover the scope of some of the situations and cases treatment providers are faced with, and mentioned there was confusion at to whether healthy sexuality treatment is covered under the Standards. Erin Austin, due to all the questions and circumstances related to this treatment, this information was given to Danielle Lewis of the Attorney General's office for a Statutory interpretation.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) indicated that there is purview over those who have been convicted of a sex offense; those convicted or adjudicated of a sexual offense fall under the purview of the Board and should be treated under the Standards by an approved provider. He noted the need to give direction to providers for these outlier cases.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked if a decision is to be made from the Board regarding these situations. Raechel Alderete (SOMC Staff) responded that the discussion will be to determine for the Board what direction to go with this information. She noted that no decision from the Best Practices Committee was made, and indicated it was deferred to the SOMB for discussion and direction.

Board Discussion:

There was robust discussion on this topic and some of the highlights are as follows:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) clarified that if someone is convicted of a sexual offense, then the Board has purview, and noted that if someone committed an offense 40 years ago, and are no longer under treatment, then they should not be under the purview of the Board. He noted that the SOMB only has purview over those clients who are currently receiving treatment as ordered by their terms and conditions of release. Chris L. (SOMB Program Manager) responded that this discussion is for those currently under sex offense specific treatment who have been convicted or adjudicated of a sexual offense.

Sara Croog (SOMB Member) asked if this would include providers giving boundaries treatment to clients who have not been convicted or adjudicated of a sexual offense. Chris L. (SOMB Program Manager) read the definition of a sex offender, and noted that those situations outside of that definition are not under the purview of the Board, would not require treatment or services as per the Standards.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that if healthy boundaries treatment is given or ordered by the courts, there are no guidelines or consistency for providers when giving these services, as this treatment is not part of the Standards.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) expressed concern that prosecutors do not have the knowledge of knowing what sex-offense-specific treatment is (more intense-long term treatment) and what healthy sexual boundaries treatment is (more short-term treatment.) She indicated that according to Statute, each adult sex offender or juvenile is to undergo treatment to the extent appropriate for the sex offender as indicated by the evaluation. Jessica Dotter noted that her interpretation of the Statute would indicate that healthy boundaries treatment appears to be more of a component of sex offense specific treatment which would follow risk-need-responsivity guideline as indicated in the Standards. She asked how can she advise the District Attorneys in these cases if this is a component of sex offense specific treatment. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) responded that from a risk-needs-responsivity or sex offense specific treatment perspective, that using healthy boundaries as a component of treatment would fall within the Standards. He indicated

that if treatment were to employ only the healthy boundaries treatment component when sex offense specific treatment is ordered, then that would be a violation of the Standards. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that they are looking for a way for providers to have this treatment option for lower risk clients without violating the Standard and possibly without using the variance process for treatment modification.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) if the evaluation does not require certain components of treatment for an individual which may cause increased risk, and a judge orders treatment based on that evaluation, then there should be alternate treatment that is not so intense. He indicated that this is happening, and noted the need to give guidance to the courts and providers for those cases.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) expressed concern with putting psychoeducational boundaries treatment guidance in the Standards as a stand-alone Standard. He indicated that this treatment is addressed in the Standards in Section 3.160 B 3 e. which talks about healthy sexuality as a component, and noted it is more of an educational course (short-term) and not as intense as sex offense specific treatment.

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) noted that the cases she sees are those cases that were not mandated into sex-offense-specific treatment, and she indicated that it is hard for the providers to know what aspects of sex-offense-specific treatment to use in conjunction with boundaries.

Judge Kramer (SOMB Member) noted the need to educate District Attorneys, prosecutors, and judges on the different therapies available and when they are most appropriate. He reiterated that the Statute indicates that sex offense specific treatment should be individualized based on the evaluation and the treatment team. Judge Kramer indicated that there are Judges who order treatment before the plea has been made, and noted that there is no right treatment except that if the judge orders it, that treatment must be given. The offender must comply with what treatment the judge has ordered.

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) noted he has some cases where a plea deal overrides the sex offense charge, and mentioned that healthy boundaries treatment has been ordered. He indicated the need for treatment providers to do the best of their ability to treat these clients.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) mentioned that the big issue is when a client in convicted of a sex offense and then the judge only orders boundaries as the treatment. She asked if training for judges would be appropriate to help them understand the different types of treatment.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) agreed with Kimberly Kline and indicated that it might be a training issue. She noted that the judges must follow the Statute regarding convicted sex offenders and sex-offense-specific training. Jessica Dotter indicated that it might be more confusing if healthy boundaries treatment is lumped in with sex-offense-specific treatment for victims. She noted she can bring up this topic in the Juvenile Victims Judicial Training Task force to discuss that orders and correct terminology need to be correct for the conviction or adjudication.

Chris L.R (SOMB Program Manager) stated, it could be a training issue for judicial, but noted that the reality is that it is an issue for providers. He reminded all that treatment providers have the option to not accept these clients, and indicated that there needs to be a mechanism for a provider to modify treatment that does not go against the Statute. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the providers should provide some kind of notice to the SOMB when they are working with a client using boundaries treatment. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) responded that the variance process would be used for those cases.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) asked for feedback from the Best Practices Committee, and noted that creating a white paper might be helpful. He also suggested having a training for providers as to when or how to incorporate healthy boundaries treatment in a treatment plan.

Hannah Pilla (SOMB Member) responded that the Best Practices Committee suggested putting this before the Board. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that the guidance document was not an agenda item at the Best Practices Committee, but indicated there was some discussion about treatment purview of the Board. She also indicated that input was asked of treatment providers before taking this issue to Danielle Lewis of the Attorney General's office.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested reaching out to the treatment providers on the Board for their input and bring that back at a later meeting, and noted that in the meantime to create some type of guidance in a white paper for the Board to review and have further

discussion. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested adding 10 more minutes to this discussion to hear from those who came specifically to discuss this issue.

Chris L. (SOMB Program Manager) indicated the need to table this agenda item in order to gather more feedback from treatment providers and bring it back to the Board to craft the next steps going forward.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) agreed to extend the meeting 10 additional minutes to hear from members of the audience.

Audience Discussion:

Missy Gursky (Audience Member) listed the following reasons for the use of healthy sexual boundaries:

- When the evaluation indicates low level treatment where healthy boundaries would be appropriate along with other therapies such as addiction counseling
- She indicated that not too many of the lower risk cases are not specifically required for healthy boundaries treatment, and noted that the majority of cases will need sex-offense-specific treatment.
- She mentioned that there are still a number of cases that need healthy boundaries treatment, and noted that overtreating someone increases risk per the research.
- She expressed the need for mechanism to be able to treat people with lower risk.
- She noted the need for a proper definition of healthy boundaries treatment for clarity.
- She indicated the need to make sure the victims can still be heard and address the correct treatment to not increase risk.
- She indicated she would rather not put in a variance.
- She asked to be a part of further discussion on this topic.

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) indicated that the SOMB's purview is only applicable when the courts order sex-offense-specific treatments, and noted that lawyers should look at the statutes with regard to case law, and let the courts make a treatment decision.

Gary Reser (Audience Member) asked the SOMB to decide on this treatment modality and noted the need to educate probation and nonprobation officers so that all involved are on the same page. He indicated that for those cases under the purview of the SOMB should note that Section 5.7 regarding child contact (which that does not account for risk.) Gary Reser agreed to temporarily use a variance process until direction is given from the Board.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that this discussion will be tabled for future discussion

Kimber Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that there will be a 20-minute lunch break

LUNCH BREAK: 12:22 - 12:42

SUNSET BILL UPDATE: Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator, and Kimberly Kline, SOMB Chair

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) and Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) briefly reviewed the Sunset Bill (SB 23-164) initiated by the Legislative Session that ended in May.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) reviewed the changes made to the various sections of the bill and how these changes will affect the SOMB:

- Section 2. Amended Definitions 16-11.7-102 (1), (1.5), and (2)(a)
 - (1) discusses adult sex offender definition
 - (1.5) discusses a juvenile who was less than 18 years of age at the time of the adjudication and who is sentenced prior to the age of 21 years Raechel Alderete indicated that Adult and Juvenile treatment provider services may be affected with clients in these age groups and both the Adult Standards Revisions Committee and Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee need to discuss whether some language is needed within the Standards due to this change.
 - (2)(a) removed subsection (II)
- Section 3. Amended 16-11.7-103 (4)(b)(I) and (6); and Added (4)(h.5) and (4)(m):
 - (4)(b)(I) Guidelines and standards for treatment of adult offenders added "*that treatment is responsive to the age* and developmental status of the offender at the time of treatment, as well as the linguistic, cultural, religious, and

racial characteristics; sexual orientation, gender identity, and gender expression as defined in Section 24-34-301." Standards revisions continue to be reviewed.

- (4)(h.5) added the required compliance reviews of treatment providers every two years.
- (4)(m) Release guideline instrument for sex offenders with determinate sentences Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) reviewed the Sub-Committee that has been created to create this guideline, and indicated the dates of the sub-committee meetings as follows:
 - August 30, 2023 Douglas County Sheriff's Office in Highlands Ranch, CO
 - September 6, 2023 Public Testimony meeting at the Douglas County Fairgrounds.
 - September 20, 2023 Douglas County Fairgrounds.

She indicated to reach out to her with rsvp's to these meetings, that the meetings will be hybrid, and that the WebEx link will be sent 1 week prior to each meeting.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) highlighted all the Sunset items that will be considered in the Sub-Committee.

- Section 4. Amended 16-11.7-104
 - Discusses sex offenders evaluation and required identification prior to being considered for Probation
 - Section 5. Amended 16-11.7-105 (2); and Added (1.5) and (3)
 - (1.5) discusses that the Department of Corrections (DOC) can contract with providers, and the requirements of the providers
 - (2) discusses that offenders on community supervision have access to the complete list of approved providers
 - (3) indicates that section (2) does not apply to juveniles served by the Division of Youth Services
 - Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) highlighted all the Sunset items that will be considered in the Sub-Committee.
 - Section 6. Amended 16-11.7-106 (2)(a) introductory portion, (2)(a)(I) and (2)(b); and Added (1.5) and (8):
 - (2)(a) and (2)(a)(I) deal with compliance reviews
 - (2)(b) discusses the need to publish annually a list of approved providers
 - (1.5) discusses Sex offender evaluation, treatment, and polygraph services, contracts with providers, placement on providers list, grievances, fund created, and repeal
 - (2)(b)(8) discusses Supervising Officers shall follow the Standards and Guidelines and agencies employing supervising officers shall collaborate with the Board to develop procedures to hold accountable those who fail to follow the Standards and Guidelines.
- Section 7. Amended 18-1.3-101 (6)
 - Discusses Pretrial diversion, appropriation, and appeal
 - Section 8 Amended 17-22.5-404 (6)(b)
 - (6)(b) Parole guidelines definition
 - Section 9. 24-34-204, repeal (24)(a)(XIII); and add (29)(a)(XX)
 - General assembly review of regulatory agencies functions for repeal, continuation, or reestablishment, legislative declaration, repeal
- Section 10. Appropriation
- Section 11. Appropriation adjustments to 2023 long bill

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) asked if the treatment providers have been given information regarding their rights to change treatment providers. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) responded that there has not been a specific notification sent to providers regarding this change yet, but indicated that it will be part of the Sunset revision implementation process.

TREATMENT COMPLETION FACTORS, POLICY BRIEF #2 (Action Item): (Attachment #3) – Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, ODVSOM Program Manager

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (ODVSOM Program Manager) introduced and gave a brief overview of the treatment completion factors policy brief #2. He noted that this brief includes what factors providers are identifying with clients successfully completing treatment or a noncompliant discharge from treatment. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky discussed the treatment attrition data that was presented in the Annual Legislative Report, and noted that this brief includes additional qualitative data along with the data summary from that report. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) asked for feedback on this brief, and noted this will be discussed in more depth at the next SOMB meeting.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS – SECTION ON COMPETENCY 2.130, 2.140, AND 2.150 (Action Item) (Attachment #5) – Erin Austin, Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, Board Member and Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced and reviewed the revisions to Standards Section 2.130, 2.140, and 2.150 regarding competency, and she then asked Taber Powers (SOMB Member) for further input.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reviewed that the revisions were based on public comment, and noted they were sent to the Best Practices Committee for review.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) clarified the difference between competency and capacity, and noted that the capacity to participate in an evaluation was added to the Standards for clarity and consistency. He indicated that the process today would be to send these revisions out for public comment. Taber Powers noted then when those comments are received, any additional changes will be vetted through the Adult Standards Revisions Committee and the Best Practices Committee for approval, and then sent to the SOMB for a vote.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that once these are approved, that will be the completion of the revisions to the entire Section 2.0. She noted that the addition of "capacity" will also move forward in Section 3.0 for treatment providers. Erin Austin highlighted the other minor revisions made to these sections, and mentioned that this is an action item that requires approval to send the revisions out for public comment

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to send the revisions to Section 2.130, 2.140, and 2.150 out for Public Comment

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #: 142148

Motion to send the revisions to Section 2.130, 2.140, and 2.150 out for Public Comment: Carl Blake; Taber Powers 2nd (Question #4)

19 Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
Gregg Kildow voted – Yes online	,				

Jason Lamprecht voted – Yes online Gary Kramer voted – Yes online

VICTIM ADVOCACY COMMITTEE, UNDERSTANDING SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT AND SUPERVISION, A RESOURCE <u>GUIDE FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Action Item)</u> (Attachment #4) – Katie Abeyta, SOMB Vice-Chair and Victim Advocacy Committee Chair, Allison Boyd, Victim Advocate, and Erin Austin, Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced the resource guide for victims of sexual assault agenda item, and invited Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) and Allison Boyd (Victim Advocate) to discuss and review this resource guide.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that this guide has been in process for over four years, and indicated that the language in this guide is from the victim and survivor perspective.

Allison Boyd (Victim Representative) noted that it has taken a number of years to create this document. She indicated that the victims/survivors are not given much support post-conviction as they may want or need, and mentioned that is the reason for this guide.

Allison Boyd (Victim Representative) highlighted the sections of this resource guide, and thanked all who were involved with the creations of the guide. She noted that this guide will be made available in various venues.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) indicated that this guide explains the post-conviction services available, and what the victims/survivors next steps might be. She noted that this will be distributed to victims and families of victims along with providers and those connected to victim services in the field.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) mentioned that each section was typically written by those who are experts in that field. She noted to send any feedback to her, Katie Abeyta, or Allison Boyd. Erin Austin indicated that this item will be brought back to next month's SOMB meeting as a Decision Item.

Allison Boyd (Victim Representative) noted that victim therapists and victim advocates will benefit greatly from this resource.

Board Discussion:

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) thanked all who created this document, and indicated that she will send this to all the District Attorney offices when it has been finalized. She also suggested adding COVA and the Rocky Mountain Victim Law Center to the Resources page and to page 13 (FAQs) as resources in this guide.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that this resource guide will come back to the SOMB as a decision item at next month's SOMB meeting, and noted to send any questions or comments to Allison Boyd or Katie Abeyta.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) noted that the treatment section is a condensed version which is intentionally not all inclusive, and mentioned that the Department of Youth Services should be changed to the Division of Youth Services where needed. Carl Blake suggested adding the following statement at the end of the document:

• "Supervising Officers by Statute are required to adhere to the Standards, and for concerns please contact the agency of the supervising officer."

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) noted that this document is not to be a stand-alone guide, and to use it in conjunction with other types of support.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that this will be most useful for those providers that are involved in trauma treatment and not necessarily involved with victims.

Audience Discussion:

None

BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 1:37 pm

Respectfully,

Jill Trowbridge Program Assistant Date

Kimberly Kline Chair of the SOMB Date

Session Name: 8-18-2023 (Denver, GMT-06:00) Date Created: (8/18/2023, 8:55) **Questions: 4 Results Detail** Q4 Q1 **Q2** Motion to Send the Motion to Motion to Q3 **Revisions to Section** Last Name **First Name** Approve the May Approve the July Motion to Move into 2.130, 2.140, and Minutes as **Minutes** as **Executive Session** 2.150 Out for Public Presented Amended Comment Katie Abeyta 1 1 1 1 3 1 Aguilar-Dave 1 1 Norma Baker Jeff 1 1 1 1 Carl 1 Blake 1 1 1 David Bourgeois 1 1 1 1 Dotter Jessica 3 1 1 1 Feltz Nicole 1 1 1 1 Kline 1 Kim 1 1 1 Kildow 1 1 1 1 Gregg Kramer Gary 1 1 1 1 Jason Lamprecht 1 1 1 1 Priscilla 3 1 Loew 3 1 Pilla Hannah 1 1 1 1 Lisa 1 1 Mayer 1 1 Amanda Retting 1 1 1 1 Taber 1 1 Powers 1 1 Sierra Ivonne 1 1 1 1 Theresa Weiss 1 1 1 1 Vance Kent 1 1 1 1 17 - Yes 19 - Yes 19 - Yes 17 - Yes 0 - No 0 - No 0 - No 0 - No 2 - Abstain 2 - Abstain 0 - Abstain 0 - Abstain

Answer Key:

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

