

**SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB)  
MINUTES**

**Friday, August 19, 2022**

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO  
CONFERENCING**

**SOMB Members**

Carl Blake  
Casey Ballinger  
Christina Ortiz-Marquez  
Glenn Knipscheer  
Gregg Kildow  
Hannah Pilla  
Ivonne Sierra  
James Scott  
Jason Lamprecht  
Jeff Shay  
Jesse Hansen  
Jessica Dotter  
Josh Nowak  
Katie Abeyta  
Kent Vance  
Kimberly Kline  
Lisa Mayer  
Michelle Simmons  
Nicole Feltz  
Norma Aguilar-Dave  
Scott James  
Sharon Holbrook  
Taber Powers  
Theresa Weiss  
Tony Carochi

**Guests**

Abi Olson  
Alyssa Glaser  
Amanda Retting  
Amira Minazzi  
Amy Rosengrants-Smith  
Beryimar Perozo Trejo  
Bobbie Ponis  
Brenna Tindall  
Brian Garrett  
Chris Renda  
Conrad Gonzales  
Danielle Lewis  
David Naham  
Delia Garcia  
Gary Reser  
Glen Batista  
Brian Garrett  
Hannah Dann  
Jenny Mora  
Jessica Bartels  
Jessica Bertolas  
Jordyn Schneider  
Kristin Kubacki  
Kyle Jones  
Laurie Kepros  
Marianne Sandstrom  
Marni Lyons  
Melissa Gursky  
Michael Ricks-Bey  
Naomi Mitchell  
Nicole Amundson  
Pat Harris  
Rick Ostring  
Roger Kincade  
Sarah Marlow  
Sera Bennett  
Sonya Hickson  
Susan Walker  
Tanya Ahamed  
Whitney Shelton

**Absent SOMB Members:** Gary Kramer, Jessica Dotter, Norma Aguilar-Dave, and Theresa Weiss

**Staff:** Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Erin Austin, Jesse Hansen, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Taylor Redding, and Yuanting Zhang

**SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:05 am**

This meeting was recorded.

**INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:**

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself, and welcomed the SOMB and DVOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced himself.

Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the various aspects of this WebEx meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted.

The SOMB members who were present at the meeting introduced themselves. Sarah Croog (new SOMB Member) introduced herself as Josh Nowak's replacement, and indicated that she will now represent private defense attorneys on the Board. She indicated that she currently works in Boulder County.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

The SOMB staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced the online guests.

#### **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

##### **Board:**

None

##### **Audience:**

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) asked to address the need for the Standards to be available in Spanish, and asked that the provider complaint form and process to be more readily available to all non-English speaking clients.

#### **ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

**Update from the Family Engagement Committee on Resource Document** – Dr. Chris Renda and Bobbie Ponis, co-chairs of the Family Engagement Committee updated that the completed Resource Guide for Families of Adults Accused, Charged, or Convicted of Sexual Offenses in Colorado is now available to the public. She thanked all involved and their participation in the numerous hours of meetings over the past seven years to make this guide a reality. Dr. Renda went on to indicate all the stakeholders that were involved in this effort, and specifically thanked the SOMB for their support and help in creating this resource.

Bobbie Ponis discussed that this guide was written from a family perspective, has a welcoming tone for the families, and that it includes a sense of hope for the families. She indicated the need for this guide to be accurate and credible. Bobbie Ponis mentioned that the QR code was designed to be available for all media avenues (i.e., posters, business cards, phone apps, libraries.) She then asked for feedback as to how best to distribute this information from the various stakeholders involved, and to send any ideas to Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, who will forward them to the Family Engagement Committee.

##### **Board Discussion:**

Glenn Knipscheer (SOMB Member) asked Bobbie Ponis what the Committee's ideas are to disseminate this information. She responded that this could possibly be made available in the jails and prisons via a poster, including the URL, and she indicated that business cards could be sent to the legal field. Bobbie Ponis noted that the hope is to have this guide translated into Spanish. She indicated the need for Probation and Parole to give their feedback as to how best to disseminate this information to their clients.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that from a treatment provider perspective, that the SOMB website and the provider agency websites would be a good place to house this information, and indicated she would include this in her Friends and Family group as a resource for client's family members.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) thanked Bobbie Ponis and Dr. Chris Renda for their excellent work and dedication to this project.

##### **Staff Announcements:**

- Taylor Redding (SOMB Staff) thanked those who presented at the 2022 ODVSOM Conference, and indicated that there were 586 attendees at the conference (including in person and the recorded sessions option.)

She noted the following upcoming training:

- S.O.M.B. 100 Training – coming up in October with details to follow
- Lunch and Learn – October 13<sup>th</sup>, on Child Contact Screening
- Lunch and Learn – December 8<sup>th</sup>, on Ethics and DORA
- Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) noted that the SOMB continues to collect data from the Provider Data Management System, and reiterated the need for providers to use the data management system to input their data. She indicated that the providers are inputting discussion regarding risk assessment, and are asking what risk assessments and instruments to use. Raechel Alderete continued to note that there is discussion regarding the Denial Protocol, what Standards they are using, and the information being gathered by polygraph exams. She reminded all to continue to ask the SOMB Staff about the data and information being collected to analyze this data, and how it will help determine trends and future Standards revisions. Raechel Alderete thanked the providers for their diligence in entering data into this data management system.
- Rachael Collie (SOMB Staff) noted that the 2022 Annual Lifetime Supervision survey will be going out to providers and agencies shortly, and asked for all to complete these surveys in order to have accurate information.
- Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) acknowledged Rick May (in absentia) for his service on the SOMB. He noted that this acknowledgement was not given to Dr. May at his final meeting, and wished to express this now. He went on to indicate his years of service on the SOMB, noted he served on the Application Review Committee (ARC), and that Dr. May and his agency associates were instrumental in developing the Sexual Offense Specific History Matrix (that is currently being revised.) Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the revised matrix should be available shortly.
- Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) acknowledged Josh Nowak's service on the SOMB, and expressed his joy in Josh's new position. He indicated that his parting gift will be coming shortly. Josh Nowak (SOMB Member) thanked all for the work and dedication that the other SOMB members put into this Board, and hoped to see them again in a different capacity.
- Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that Susan Walker's birthday is on Tuesday, August 23<sup>rd</sup>. He presented her with a small gift.

**Board Announcements:**

- Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) reminded Board members that attendance is very important at the SOMB meetings and committee meetings. She indicated that up to 3 absences are allowed each year, and noted that attendance is integral at these meetings.
- Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) discussed the murder of Lindsay Daum and her daughter, Meadow Sinner on Thursday, July 28 by Lindsay's ex-partner Javier Acevedo after Lindsay had reported a sexual assault and sexual abuse. She asked for a moment of silence for those individuals of the family who are left to deal with this tragedy. Katie Abeyta indicated that there is a Go-Fund-Me page for the surviving family members.
- Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) discussed the collaboration between the DVOMB and the SOMB, and how to encourage more people to work in these fields. He noted that Orange Circle Consulting is doing some formative research around what messaging and marketing is needed to attract and motivate that talent. Jesse Hansen noted that some stakeholders were contacted from Orange Circle Consulting for Phase 1 of this project. He noted that the research they have done should help inform the strategies going forward. Jesse Hansen indicated that Orange Circle Consulting will present the results of Phase 1 at the September SOMB meeting. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that this research will focus on ways to attract individuals based on equity, diversion and inclusivity, and what outreach the SOMB can do to connect with these individuals.

**Audience Announcements:**

None

**APPROVAL OF MAY AND JULY MINUTES (Decision Item) (Attachment #1):**

***Jeff Shay moved to approve the May 2022 Minutes.  
Joshua Nowak 2nd the motion.***

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the votes will be taken both In-Person and Virtually (via the polling option). It was indicated that only SOMB members should be voting at this time.

**Motion to approve the May Minutes as presented: Jeff Shay; Joshua Nowak 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #1)**

<b>19</b>	<b>Approve</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Abstain</b>	<b>Motion Passes</b>
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) asked to add Judge Bradley Burback and Tracy Martinez to the July minutes.

***Carl Blake moved to approve the July 2022 Minutes as amended.  
Taber Powers 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.***

**Motion to approve the July Minutes as amended: Carl Blake; Taber Powers 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #2)**

**20 Approve      0 Oppose      1 Abstain      Motion Passes**

**APPROVE AGENDA**

The agenda was approved by consensus

**BREAK: 10:00 – 10:05**

**SEX OFFENDER SURCHARGE APPROVAL (Decision Item): Lisa Mayer, SOMB Member; and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ**

This agenda item was moved up to allow the appeal hearing participants to arrive to the meeting.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) gave a brief overview of what the Sex Offender Surcharge is and how these monies are allocated. He went on to indicate that this is a cash fund that is paid into by those who have been convicted or adjudicated of a sexual offense, and that the payment amount is based on the conviction charge. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the monies are collected for this fund by Judicial (Probation Department), who manages the account. He mentioned that the SOMB has a Statutory requirement to determine the allocation of these funds annually through the Legislative budget process. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the Sex Offender Surcharge Committee is made up of representatives that are impacted by the allocation of the fund, which include the Department of Human Services (including the Division of Youth Services), the Department of Corrections, State Judicial, and the Department of Public Safety. The funds allocated to the Department of Public Safety go towards the operation of the SOMB and the staff to the SOMB. He noted that for Judicial, the funds allocated go toward indigent client evaluations, and he indicated that for the Department of Corrections and Human/Youth Services, the funds allocated are used for training of staff.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) mentioned that the Sex Offender Surcharge Committee makes a financial determination yearly as to the allocation of the funds to each of the four agencies. He noted that the SOMB's responsibility is to approve the fund allocation and recommend it to the Joint Budget Committee of the State Legislature as part of the budget process. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the current allocations and recommendation are for the next fiscal year (FY24.)

Lisa Mayer (Committee Chair) indicated that the Committee met on August 15<sup>th</sup>, and noted that the fund balance continues to increase. She noted that in the past, the fund balances have been used to pay for special projects (i.e., the SOMB Data Management System.) Lisa Mayer mentioned that \$200,000 was allocated for a new online provider application and complaint process, and it appears that these monies have not been drawn down yet. She also mentioned that the amounts allocated to each agency have typically been the same amounts each year, but noted that additional spending allocations could be requested to increase those amounts slightly. Lisa Mayer indicated that the requests for additional spending allocations will have to come from each individual agency, and then the Committee will review these requests to present to the SOMB at next month's SOMB meeting. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the Committee will look at ways to spend down the fund balance for new projects that serve the Statutorily intent. He noted that there has been some confusion at the Legislature regarding how the additional spending requests should be handled. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the fund balance for FY22 is over the preferred balance amount of \$500,000, and indicated that the Committee will determine and recommend how best to use the additional monies.

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) indicated that there is new legislation that has done away with the sexual offender surcharge for adjudicated juveniles. She asked if this will impact the allocations, and if the surcharge should continue to be collected from individuals under supervision who already pay for restitution, evaluations, victim compensation, victim assistance, and other fees. Laurie Kepros asked if legislation might possibly be passed to reduce or eliminate this surcharge altogether. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the Committee did look at the amount of monies typically collected from adjudicated juveniles, and noted that those funds were relatively low. He noted that the reduction caused by the elimination of the juvenile sex offender surcharge and its impact on

the allocations was presented to the Legislature previously. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the expenditures being made are in line with the revenue collected, and mentioned that her comments will be taken under consideration by the Committee.

**BREAK: 10:15 am – 10:30 am**

**COMPLAINT APPEAL HEARING (Decision Item) (No Attachment): Carl Blake, ARC Chair; Complainant, Scott Casey; and Marianne Sandstrom, Approved Provider**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that the appellant would like to withdraw his complaint appeal. He thanked all for their preparation for this hearing.

**THE APPEAL WAS VACATED BY THE APPELLANT**

Due to the Complaint Appeal Hearing agenda item being cancelled, it was decided to move the Adult Standards Revisions Committee Updates to this time slot.

**ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE UPDATES – USE IMMUNITY SURVEY, PUBLIC COMMENT PROCESS, AND USE OF INTERPRETERS (Presentation and Action Item): Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair; and Erin Austin, DCJ**

**Use Immunity Survey:**

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reviewed the background of Use Immunity, how and when to use this, and when to use a variance to continue to treat a client while under appeal. She indicated that if after exhaustive research that Use Immunity was not offered to a client who is under an appeal, then a variance will have to be initiated in order to continue treatment with the client. She noted that no variances of these types have been issued by the Application Review Committee (ARC) in the past two years. Erin Austin indicated that providers do not always have access to the client records, which would mean that the provider would have to contact the District Attorney to find out if Use Immunity was offered. She noted that this can be cumbersome for the providers. She indicated that since there is provider confusion when working with clients who are under appeal, that a survey was sent out to gather feedback and information regarding these situations. Rachael Collie (SOMB Staff) reviewed the survey questions and the results. She encouraged providers to take the time to complete this survey. Erin Austin indicated that the treatment providers expressed frustration in trying to work with clients under a Use Immunity agreement when they can't talk about the client's offense. Taber Powers (Adult Standards Revisions Committee Chair) noted that another Use Immunity survey will be sent out to other field professionals (i.e., attorneys, judicial) to gather different viewpoints for these cases. He noted the need for this information to determine if this is something that should be in the Standards, or if current the Standards need to be revised.

**Public Comment Process:**

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reviewed how the public comment was conducted in the past, and noted that the public found this did not allow them the opportunity to express their views sooner in the process. She then gave an overview of the proposed public comment policy process:

- The committees will send surveys to the field and stakeholders at the onset.
- Literature reviews will be done and presented to the originating committee.
- Once the originating committee has reviewed this information and made any necessary changes to the work product and approved it, the recommendations and research, literature reviews, survey results will then go to the Best Practices Committee for their review.
- The Best Practices Committee will review the recommendations and the research, literature reviews, research and will either accept the recommendations given them, revise the recommendations, or send it back to the original committee, and if approved, the work product will then be included in the next SOMB meeting as an action item for the public to review and give public comment. If the Best Practices Committee does not approve the work product, then it will go back to the originating committee for further research and revision.
- The work product recommendations will then be presented to the SOMB, and there will be a 14-day period for the public to comment.

- If the public comments and/or the SOMB do not approve the work product, then it will go back to the originating committee for revision, more research, and will then go back to the Best Practices Committee.
- If the public comment/SOMB comments are favorable, then the work product will be presented at the next SOMB meeting as a decision item.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) went on to clarify, that any revisions/changes made after the 14-day public comment period would have to be substantive in order to return to the originating committee and Best Practices Committee. She included a diagram showing the process that helps to clarify this new process recommendation.

**Use of Interpreters:**

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) reviewed that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee was requested to address the use of interpreters based on best practice and cultural considerations. She asked the SOMB members to review these revisions, and let the Committee know if these are good to proceed with the public comment process (reviewed previously.) Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) reiterated that these revisions and the new public comment process are the result of responses received.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) then presented the changes to Standard 2.160 (expanded to include language, cultural, and ethnic considerations) and the new Standard 2.165 which addresses the use of interpreters for their “preferred” language when an evaluation is being done. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that the Adults Standards Revisions Committee had robust discussion regarding the client’s preferred versus proficient language choice. He indicated that the client’s preferred language may not be their most proficient language choice. Taber Powers pointed out that in the new Standard, that anytime during the evaluation if the client requests the need for an interpreter, then this should be addressed at that time.

**Board Discussion:**

Glenn Knipscheer (SOMB Member) asked if the Standards list the requirements of interpreters used. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) responded that if the client requests an interpreter, the evaluator will coordinate with the referral source to allow one to be present at that time (Standard 2.165, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.)

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked what the Adult Standards Revisions Committee is asking of the Board. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that the Committee is asking if the SOMB would like to go forward with this revision and new Standard. She noted that if so, then either a motion needs to be made or agreement by consensus so this new work product can go out to the stakeholders for 14-day public comment. Taber Powers reiterated that after the public comment has been received by the Adult Standards Revisions Committee, and then the Best Practices Committee (if there are no significant changes), that the work product would then be brought to the Board as a decision item.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) asked if there is a motion to send the Use of Interpreters work product out for public comment.

***Jeff Shay (SOMB Member) made a motion to send the Use of Interpreters work product out for public comment. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.***

It was indicated that only SOMB members should be voting at this time.

**Motion to send the Use of Interpreters work product out for Public Comment: Jeff Shay; Carl Blake  
2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #3)**

<b>20</b>	<b>Approve</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Abstain</b>	<b>Motion Passes</b>
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

**Joshua Nowak left the meeting at: 11:00 am**

Raechal Alderete (SOMB Staff) reiterated that this work product presentation is the introduction to the Board and that now is the time to hear from the public and all stakeholders before moving through the new process.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that this work product will go out to the public on Monday, August 22<sup>nd</sup> and will close on Friday, September 2<sup>nd</sup>.

**SOMB ANNUAL BOARD TRAINING PER HB 18-1198: Danielle Lewis, Attorney General's Office**

Danielle Lewis (Attorney General's Office) introduced herself and indicated that she is counsel to the Department of Public Safety which includes the Division of Criminal Justice. She noted that she will present to the SOMB the annual training on SOMB Ethics and Board Membership. Highlights of this presentation are as follows:

The Purpose of This Training

Governing Law and Policy

SOMB Duties

SOMB Membership

Other Best Practices

Board and Commission Conduct

Conflicts of Interest – Bylaws

Additional Affirmation for SOMB

Conflict of Interest Policy

Conflicts of Interest

Sunshine Laws

What is a Meeting

What Does the Open Meeting Law Require

Exceptions for Executive Sessions

Attorney Advice in Executive Sessions

Executive Sessions Recordings and Discovery

CORA

CORA Requests to SOMB

Board Ethics

**Board Discussion:**

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked Danielle Lewis when there is turnover on the Board, what would the definitive cutoff date be so that the Open Meetings Law does not apply. Danielle Lewis responded that even when the individual is no longer a Board member, the Open Meeting Laws still apply. She noted an example that if emailing back and forth with this previous member, is it subject to the Open Meeting Laws and is discoverable under CORA. Danielle Lewis also indicated that if speaking with a previous SOMB member regarding confidential topics, that this can also be a violation to the Open Meeting Laws.

**Audience Discussion:**

Sarah Croog (Audience Member) asked if it would be a violation of the Open Meeting Laws if a board member has discussions with their stakeholders about a voting matter that might affect them directly. Danielle Lewis (Attorney General's Representative) responded that this communication might be subject to the Open Meeting Laws.

David Naham (Audience Member) asked if the request for open records, is a public record itself. Danielle Lewis (Attorney General's Representative) responded yes, they are, and noted that once the request is received by a public body, that request becomes part of their public discourse, which is part of the open record.

**LUNCH: 11:45 am – 12:15 pm**

**Christina Ortiz-Marquez (SOMB Member) arrived at 12:15 pm**

**LEGISLATIVE UPDATE (Presentation): Joel Malecka, CDPS**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced Joel Malecka, Colorado Department of Public Safety legislative liaison. He noted that Joel Malecka will present his observations and what to expect for the coming year regarding legislative issues.

Joel Malecka (CDPS Legislative Liaison) thanked the SOMB for the challenges, time, and stress faced with the work they do to ensure public safety. He gave a general overview of the past Legislative session, and noted that the 2020 budgets projections were based on the pandemic. Joel Malecka noted that these projections were overbudgeted and indicated the State had an overage of over 1 Billion dollars for 2021. He noted that the result was a grant to expend the overage monies, and mentioned that the Department of Public

Safety will receive a large amount of this grant money to be passed through to the public. Joel Malecka indicated the challenges faced expending this one-time money. He indicated that some of the top issues in this state are crime, auto-theft, catalytic converter theft, addiction, fentanyl seizure, and the presence of opioid drugs. He noted that criminal justice was at the top of mind in the Legislature this past year, along with criminal justice reform, police reform, and crime reduction.

Joel Malecka noted that a change in Legislative membership (currently 29 Democrat, 15 Republican) could change the way the Legislature looks at issues. He noted that the SOMB will Sunset in September 2023, which will trigger the Department of Regulator Agencies (DORA) to review the SOMB and make recommendations to the House Judiciary and State Judiciary Committees. Joel Malecka indicated that DORA is currently in the process of compiling the audit results and are preparing the upcoming report. He mentioned that Judiciary has the responsibility of accepting those recommendations, and then move forward with the bill process to renew the SOMB. Joel Malecka noted that the first draft of the Sunset Bill will be the DORA recommendations, and then revisions as requested by the Legislature based on their opinions. He indicated that regardless of the varying opinions of the SOMB, his perspective is that the vast majority believe the SOMB is doing good work and will continue to exist.

Joel Malecka noted the differences in how people perceive justice, not only for the victim, but for the offender, what treatment will look like, and what role the SOMB will have in determining treatment in the future given the options given offenders. He indicated that it is unknown what type of oversight the Standards and Guidelines and the SOMB will have, and if they will have the ability to enforce the Standards and Guidelines or if these will be only advisory in nature. Joel Malecka noted that these are some of the issues this Legislative session will be faced with.

**Board Discussion:**

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked what individual SOMB members can do to ensure the SOMB continues. Joel Malecka (Legislative Liaison) responded that they need to know who their Legislators are, and get to know them. He indicated that the Board members as subject matter experts should make themselves more available as a resource to the Legislators to provide their expertise, which will help the Legislators make the best possible decisions.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked if it would be possible to meet with the Legislative Liaison from other agencies to give them insight as to the work of the SOMB. Joel Malecka responded that he will ask within the Liaison Department, but noted that the Liaisons work for the Cabinet, and that it will depend upon the comfort level of the administration.

**Audience Discussion:**

Susan Walker (Audience Member) asked Joel Malecka if his comment that there are many who feel the SOMB is doing good work was based on research or a gut feeling. Joel Malecka clarified that he believes that the majority of people feel that the SOMB is something that should be continued. He noted that what that will look like, will be a contentious process. Joel Malecka indicated that his contacts have indicated that they have advocated for the SOMB to continue.

Susan Walker (Audience Member) asked who are the people he has contact with that are advocating for the SOMB. Joel Malecka responded that not all his contacts have supported the SOMB as it is today, but that it should continue in some form.

**Meeting Adjourned: 1:00 pm**

**NEW BOARD MEMBER ORIENTATION (Presentation): Kimberly Kline, SOMB; and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ**



Respectfully,

Jill N. Trowbridge

Digitally signed by Jill N.  
Trowbridge  
Date: 2022.11.23 09:04:37 -07'00'

Jill Trowbridge  
Program Assistant

Date

Kimberly Kline  
Chair of the SOMB

Date

SOMB Meeting	Event Start Date	Event Start Time	FirstName	LastName	Join Time	Leave Time	Motion to approve May minutes(9:51 am / 9:52 am)	Motion to approve July minutes as amended.(9:56 am / 9:56 am)	Motion to send the proposed Standard out for public comment.(11:06 am / 11:07 am)
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Katie	Abeyta	9:01 am	12:49 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Casey	Ballinger	9:02 am	12:49 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Carl	Blake	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Tony	Carochi	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Jessica	Dotter	8:57 am	12:45 pm	Yes	Abstain	Yes
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Nicole	Feltz	9:01 am	12:58 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Jesse	Hansen	8:33 am	12:29 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Sharon	Holbrook	8:50 am	12:45 pm	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Scott	James	9:01 am	11:44 am	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Gregg	Kildow	8:08 am	12:45 pm	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Kimberly	Kline	9:03 am	2:24 pm	Abstain - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Glenn	Knipscheer	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Jason	Lamprecht	8:48 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Christina	Marquez	11:44 am	2:25 pm	N/A	N/A	N/A
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Hannah	Pilla	8:47 am	12:45 pm	Abstain - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Mayer	Lisa	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Joshua	Nowak	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	N/A
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Taber	Powers	8:47 am	1:36 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Jeff	Shay	9:01 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Ivonne	Sierra	9:04 am	2:25 pm	Yes	Yes	Yes
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Michelle	Simmons	8:54 am	12:45 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
SOMB Meeting	August 19, 2022	9:00 am	Kent	Vance	9:11 am	12:47 pm	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person	Yes - In Person
							<b>19 - Yes</b>	<b>20 - Yes</b>	<b>20 - Yes</b>
							<b>0 - No</b>	<b>0 - No</b>	<b>0 - No</b>
							<b>2 - Abstain</b>	<b>1 - Abstain</b>	<b>0 - Abstain</b>
<i>Joshua Nowak left the meeting at 11:00 am</i>									