SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, September 19, 2025

THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING

SOMB Members Guests Allie Miller Pat Harris Aisa Humphries Amanda Retting **Brittany Bohlen** Reba Naugle Casey Ballinger Christine Gado Roger Kincade **David Bourgeois** Conrad Gonzales Ruby Jaime Soto Jason Lamprecht David Martinez Sarah Marlow Jessica Dotter Sarah Varty Gary Reser Katie Abeyta Holly Harris-Yanker Sera Bennett Katie Ruske Sheila Pomeranz Jenna Mitchell Kimberly Kline Joanie Vigil Sinjin Sison Lauren Rivas Kristin Kubacki Steve Rios Michelle Simmons Kyle Faust Victoria Halpern Mike Knotek Laurie Kepros Nicole Feltz Maggie Sahlieh Sarah Croog Marsha Brewer Sonya Hickson Matsen Hartsoe

Absent SOMB Members: Andrew Luxen, Jeff Baker, Lisa Mayer, Norma Aguilar-Dave, Taber Powers, and Priscilla Loew

Staff Present: Erin Austin, Ellen Creecy, Jesse Hansen, Jessica Manrique, Jill Trowbridge, Maija Roscoe, Paige Brown, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Taylor Redding, and Yuanting Zhang

Nancy Volle

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:05 am

This meeting was recorded.

Theresa Weiss

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced herself and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public. She then read the meeting rules and expectations.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) introduced herself.

Kimberly Kline arrived at 9:07 am

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced Taylor Kriesel (SOMB Special Projects Coordinator) who then reviewed the aspects of the Webex meeting and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. Taylor Kriesel asked all to state their names for clarity in the minutes.

The SOMB in-person members introduced themselves, and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves. Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) introduced the on-line guests.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board:

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) asked that the Adult Standards Revisions Committee address language, as outlined in Statute, that indicates that all treatment recommendations must be appropriate and in accordance with the evaluation recommendations regardless of whether the client is in the Department of Corrections SOTMP program or out on Parole/Probation. He noted that this language should also include those clients with determinate or indeterminate sentences to allow the clients to complete treatment even when they are out of custody.

Audience:

Laurie Kepros (Audience member) asked that a new committee be created, meeting for 1 hour each month for the purpose of problem solving. She indicated that this meeting would be open to MDT members, CST members, attorneys, clients, and support people to identify resources, find solutions in areas of concern, and bring new information to light.

Marsha Brewer (Audience Member) asked for Board or committee review and discussion to include PICS (Post-incarceration syndrome, a form of PTSD) as a treatable diagnosis in the Standards.

Gary Reser (Audience Member) noted that Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) currently conducts meetings that address the problems that Laurie Kepros mentioned and recommended previously.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Taylor Kriesel (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

- 9/24/25 Juvenile Roundtable training to be held in Montrose
- 10/7/25 SOMB 100 training to be held in Westminster
- 10/9/25 SOMB Lunch & Learn: Navigating Internet Use and Electronic Monitoring Best Practice for SOMB Providers (SOMB approved Providers only)
- 10/29/25 10/30/25 SOMB 101 & Roundtable training to be held in Weld County
- 12/4/25 Lunch & Learn replaces the 12/11 training previously scheduled
- Conference Reminders the conference videos will be available until November 1st.
- Any questions regarding renewals or applications should be directed to Maija Roscoe

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Program Coordinator) announced the following:

 Acknowledged that September is Hispanic Heritage Month and gave some information regarding Hispanic and Latino history and culture.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) announced the following:

- Supplemental allocation from the Surcharge Fund for the creation of a Victim Representative Training Program went out for bid. He indicated that there were five vendors for the competitive bid, noted there is one agency that meets the State requirement and mentioned that the SOMB is waiting for this vendor to go through the approval process. Jesse Hansen indicated that he would follow up once the vendor is approved and what the training will look like once it has been built out.
- He acknowledged that political violence has no place in society and noted that we must stand together against all forms of political violence.
- Jesse Hansen noted he will put information in the chat regarding October Domestic Violence Awareness Month.

Board Announcements:

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) announced that September is Campus Safety Awareness Month and indicated that resources are available on campuses regarding campus safety. She also indicated that September is National Self-Care Awareness month and noted the importance of self-care.

Katie Ruske (SOMB Member) announced that the Office of Community Corrections Conference will be held on 10/21/2025 - 10/22/2025 at the Delta Hotel in Northglenn, Colorado, and noted that registration information is found on the DCJ Linked-In page.

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB member) announced that he will be moving back to California and leaving the Board in October. He indicated that he hopes to be able to introduce his replacement at the October meeting.

Audience Announcements:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) announced that October 2nd is Wrongful Conviction Day and indicated there is new legislation (Forensic Science Integrity Act) due to forensic scientists mishandling evidence in Colorado. She noted that there may be many cases brought to light due to forensic mishandling.

APPROVAL OF JULY 2025 SOMB MINUTES (Decision Item): (Attachment #1)

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the July 2025 SOMB Minutes as presented. Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the July 2025 SOMB Minutes as presented: Sarah Croog; Nicole Feltz 2nd (Question #1)

12	Approve	0	Oppose	4	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Sonya Hickson voted Yes verbally

APPROVE SEPTEMBER AGENDA:

The September agenda was approved by consensus.

<u>APPEAL HEARING (Discussion & Vote):</u> (No Attachment) - Maija Roscoe, Application & Standards Compliance Coordinator; Lauren Rivas, ARC Chair & SOMB Member; Steve Rios, SOMB Approved Provider

Maija Roscoe (SOMB Application & Standards Compliance Coordinator) introduced the agenda item and reminded the Board and the Application Review Committee (ARC) members that the Google folder holds all the pertinent information for this discussion. Maija Roscoe introduced Steve Rios (SOMB Provider) and then deferred to Lauren Rivas (ARC Chair) for further discussion.

Lauren Rivas introduced the ARC members. She reviewed the background of the complaint and indicated that the ARC reviewed this complaint diligently. Lauren Rivas indicated that the ARC concluded that there were instances of Mr. Rios operating outside the scope of his professional practice, neglecting to complete the proper progress reports, not communicating with the clients, not updating the monthly progress reports for the new provider and not communicating with the CST. Lauren Rivas indicated that based on the documents received, there was evidence of a lack of continuity of care and communication with the CST. She indicated that the ARC issued sanctions 1. To complete 1 hour of training on record keeping and accuracy of files, 2. Submit a written plan to the ARC on how to ensure records will be completed and maintained as required in the Standards, 3. Complete a Standards booster training prior to resuming sex offense specific training with the SOMB. Lauren Rivas stated that the ARC recommends that the Board uphold the findings of the ARC and uphold the issued sanctions.

The Provider (Steve Rios) was allowed 20 minutes to present a response to the complaint. He indicated that he has completed the requested sanctions issued by the ARC. He indicated he also took additional report writing training. Mr. Rios noted he has done more than was asked prior to this appeal. He noted there is a recordkeeping error on his DORA license and explained the circumstances regarding that.

The SOMB Board was allowed 20 minutes for questions and discussion:

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) clarified the SOMB does not list a founded complaint on the SOMB website
like DORA does. She noted the need to use the Colorado Open Records Act (CORA) process to request the details of a founded
complaint.

- Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) asked Mr. Rios if his monthly progress written reports have been up to date as requested by the ARC. Mr. Rios responded that he was not sure which reports were in question (whether the 40 clients or the 7 core clients), and indicated it was nearly impossible to complete the written reports with that many clients. Sarah Croog asked how many of the clients did not receive written reports. Mr. Rios was not sure and that he would have to go back to check his records. He noted that everyone either had a video face-to-face report or a written report but was not sure how many received either. Sara Croog asked if he could understand clients may suffer for not having timely written reports.
- Lauren Rivas (ARC Member) clarified the revision to the Standards to include written reports was added in May of 2023.
- Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) asked Mr. Rios for clarification as to what he is asking the Board to do. Mr. Rios responded that he is asking the Board to overturn the finding regarding the lack of written progress reports.
- Sonya Hickson (SOMB Member) asked Lauren Rivas for clarification of what the ARC is asking of the SOMB. Lauren Rivas indicated that the ask of the Board is to uphold the findings of the ARC and uphold the sanctions which Mr. Rios has completed. Sonya Hickson then asked Mr. Rios if he is asking for the SOMB to overturn the findings as he has completed the required sanctions. Mr. Rios responded yes.
- Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) noted that Mr. Rios' DORA complaint was dismissed and asked if the SOMB finding will impact Mr. Rio's licensing. Mr. Rios responded that this will not be listed on the DORA license but will be on the DORA profile and indicated that liability insurance companies ask if sanctions have ever been issued. Mr. Rios noted that insurance asks if a provider has ever had a sanction, even if the sanction has been completed. He indicated that he would like the sanction to be overturned. Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that this sanction or finding will not show on the DORA license.

Board Discussion:

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) highlighted that per Section E of the Administrative Policies the SOMB must vote on the original findings of the ARC. They must vote in one of the following three ways:

- a. Accept the finding of the ARC
- b. Reject the finding of the ARC
- c. Modify the findings or sanction of the ARC

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) asked Mr. Rios if he would do this again if faced with the same number of clients. Mr. Rios indicated it was a very difficult time and situation and that he would probably do this again based on circumstances.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) mentioned that Mr. Rios has completed some of the sanctions and noted he is listed as "not currently practicing" but still under the purview of the SOMB standards.

MOTION REGARDING APPEAL HEARING (Decision Item):

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) made a motion to accept the finding of the ARC and the sanctions imposed. Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) noted that ARC members will not vote on this decision.

Voting Session #963201

Motion to accept the finding of the ARC and sanctions imposed: Jessica Dotter; Sarah Croog 2nd (Question #2)

12	Approv	e	0	Oppose	4	Abstain	Motio	n Passes	

Sonya Hickson voted Yes verbally

BREAK: 10:17 - 10:36 am

<u>STRATEGIC PLANNING UPDATE (Presentation & Discussion)</u> (No Attachment) - Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator and Jesse Hansen, ODVSOM Program Manager

Rachael Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) introduced this agenda item and discussed the various workgroups that were created during the Strategic Planning meeting. She announced the board members and staff assigned to each workgroup.

The team reporters presented strategies that each group discussed:

- Emerging Trends & Innovation (Sarah Croog recorder)
- Training Tools & Systems (Sonya Hickson recorder)
- Collaboration (Theresa Weiss recorder)
- System Responsiveness (Katie Abeyta recorder)

Each group recorder then presented the discussion and outcomes of their strategic planning group:

- <u>Training Tools & Systems</u> Sonya Hickson noted they discussed creating a better workflow for new providers to include training, online application systems, rebranding the SOMB in a more positive light, understanding the roles of the CST members, mentoring new providers, training and support for victim advocacy/representation and more training for Board members.
- Emerging Trends & Innovation Sarah Croog noted their focus was as subject matter experts to be on the cutting edge of research and treatment to include specialized populations (LGBTQ, females, foreign language speakers, etc.) She noted that there is not always research for these populations and to use expertise and different models to work through any gaps in treatment. Sarah Croog indicated that recognizing the use of AI and virtual reality are having a huge impact on supervision and treatment and noted the need to include these technologies in the Standards. She indicated the need to update and revise some of the current assessment tools to incorporate specialized populations, along with training on the assessment tools. Sara Croog also indicated the need for the Provider Data Management System (PDMS) to be able to collect data and information that will capture gaps in treatment for specialized populations to develop better treatment options.
- Collaboration Theresa Weiss mentioned that the group focused on the collaboration areas of Board to Board, Board to Staff, and Board to Stakeholders. She noted that the board members need to share their roles and responsibilities with each other and share information in their areas of expertise. Theresa Weiss indicated the need to receive regular updates on various trends (i.e. ARC complaints, trends with applications). She noted that communication from Board to Staff does not always get communicated fully. Theresa Weiss mentioned the need for the Board to share knowledge with each other, disseminate pertinent information pertaining to their area of expertise, and encourage more stakeholder involvement at the committee level. She also mentioned there are barriers with the Board regarding time constraints for Board members and suggested the possibility of offering training hours for attending the meetings.
- <u>System Responsiveness</u> Katie Abeyta reported that the group discussed the need for the Board to be proactive rather than reactive. She indicated that one area of weakness is in victim representation and noted that some changes are coming soon in that area. Katie Abeyta noted that the group discussed specific education for Judges about determinate and indeterminate sentences and how that impacts clients down the pipeline. She then indicated that the group discussed the need to be proactive in educating the Legislature as to what the SOMB is doing and not get caught on the defensive.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) indicated that SOMB leadership is looking at ways for staff to support the Board. She noted that the Executive Committee will meet next week to discuss and review strategic planning objectives and priorities with a full report to be supplied to the Board.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) recapped that the strategic priorities are:

- High impact/low effort
 - Educating Judicial/Judges at the SOMB conference
 - Board membership rules, roles and responsibilities,
 - More ARC reporting to the Board
 - Educate the Board on new evidence tools and trends
- High impact/high effort
 - MDT/CST collaborative training
 - Continuing education
 - Supervision training
 - o Increasing knowledge when working with specialized populations
 - Rebranding the SOMB
 - Access to victim representatives
- Low impact/low effort
 - Continuing with recruitment/retention
 - Encourage Board members to be more involved/engaged in the monthly Board agenda/meeting

• The use of Grants dollars if funding applicable for providers and victims (Office of Victim Programs, Office of Adult & Juvenile Justice within DCJ)

Jesse Hansen (Program Manager) reviewed that information gathering was done prior to the Strategic planning sessions via provider surveys. He thanked the facilitators for their knowledge and expertise in their respective fields to help the Board better understand explicit and implicit bias. Jesse Hansen noted that there was a lot of great discussion at the retreat and indicated that there will be more finality of the priorities in the future.

Board Discussion:

None

<u>SEX OFFENDER SURCHARGE ALLOCATION (Presentation & Discussion)</u> (Attachment #2) - Jesse Hansen, ODVSOM Program Manager Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) indicated that \$100,000 was added to the Division of Criminal Justice portion of the Sex Offender Surcharge Fund allocation for FY2025-2026 for a one-time expense appropriation for the creation and development of a victim representative training program. He indicated that this is a revision to the previously approved (March 2024) sex offender surcharge allocation that was deferred to Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE SEX OFFENDER SURCHARGE ALLOCATION REVISION AS PRESENTED:

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Sex Offender Surcharge Allocation revision as presented. Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the Sex Offender Surcharge Allocation revision as presented: Jessica Dotter; Theresa Weiss 2nd (Question #3)

12 Approve 0 Oppose 4 Abstain Motion Passes

Sonya Hickson - Abstained

GUIDANCE DOCUMENT REGARDING INTERNET USE - APPENDIX M (Decision Item): (Attachment #3) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Dr. Rachael Collie, SOMB Data Analyst

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) and Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Data Analyst) reviewed the background information and process used to create the Internet Use Guidance document. She then reviewed the most recent changes to the document based on feedback as follows:

Further Review & Discussion:

Board

- DD/ID Considerations includes access to accessibility/assistive devices
- Gaming platforms will be a separate document

Public

Expressed concerns on offenders using the internet overall, not suggested changes or edits

Final Updates: Summary

- Social Connection (page 2) Statement acknowledging may include online gaming platforms
- Key Research Findings on Risk Factors (page 7) Online Sexual Activity (OSAs) Noted Gaming Platforms
- Relevant Risk Factors (page 4) Changed wording from *Deviant* to *Risk-Related*
- 3.160: In Practice (page 6) Statement on compliance with gaming platforms; Statement on technology or internet use for DD/ID or those with disabilities

 Practice Recommendations for Evaluators (page 9) - Protective Factor - Access to Assistive Technology for DD/ID or clients with disabilities

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that this is a decision item and requires a vote. She noted that if this document is approved today that it will be placed within Appendix M of the Adult Standards and Guidelines.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT REGARDING INTERNET USE AS PRESENTED:

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Internet Use Guidance document as presented. Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the Internet Use Guidance document as presented: Nicole Feltz; Sarah Croog 2nd (Question #4)

16	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Sonya Hickson voted Yes verbally

LUNCH: 11:20 - 11:48 am

SUBSEQUENT REVISIONS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY & RESPONSIBILITY (Decision Item): (Attachment #4) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and reviewed that the previously requested corrections have been made as follows:

Revisions Process: Find/Replace

- Highlighted all areas of the standards that needed to be changed
- Inserted term/phrasing that fit best
- ASR and Best Practices committees reviewed treated language
- Polygraph Workgroup reviewed polygraph language
- VAC reviewed victim language

Public Comment

• In favor, with comment to fix typo/wording

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote for final approval.

Board Discussion:

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) asked regarding Section 6.013 if the sex history polygraph would be delayed for Level 2 clients who have discrepancies. Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) responded that this is clarifying language only and noted that Level 2 clients will no longer be held back from the sex history polygraph process. She indicated it is more important to work on the discrepancies with the client before taking the sex history polygraph. Amanda Retting (SOMB Member) also noted in SOTMP that there are other criteria used in treatment and not just the sex history polygraph.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE SUBSEQUENT REVISIONS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY & RESPONSIBILITY AS PRESENTED:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Accountability and Responsibility document as presented. Lauren Rivas (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the subsequent revisions for Accountability & Responsibility document as presented: Sarah Croog; Lauren Rivas 2nd (Question #5)

16 Approve 0 Oppose 0 Abstain Motion Passes

<u>ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE - PROPOSED REVISIONS TO APPENDIX S (Decision Item)</u>: (Attachment #5) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and discussed the progression to the current revisions to Appendix S: Guidance on Appeals, Post-Conviction Motions, and Use Immunity. She reviewed the following information:

Revisions Proposed:

- Provides Education in Appeals and Post Conviction options for Providers
 - Process
 - Definitions
 - Differences
 - Expectations
- Connect these situations to Standards
 - When 3.162 applies
 - o Additional guidance and information

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote for final approval.

Board Discussion:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) noted that this information does not follow her understanding of the law considering the large number of exception/appeal cases in Colorado (regarding CBI issues.) She noted the need to be explicit as pertains to Colorado law and asked to hear from the Attorney General's office regarding these types of cases. Sarah Croog would like to have the Attorney General's office representative come to the SOMB meeting and discuss these situations further. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) noted that the Attorney General's office has not refuted this language at this time, but it was noted that the Attorney General's office indicated that there may be future legal advice forthcoming. Rachael Alderete indicated that if this language is to be sent back to the Committee, then there needs to be valid reasoning as why to do this.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) indicated that there is a gray area between providers and the law. She indicated that she tends to side with the SOMB language revisions at this time.

Michelle Simmons (SOMB Member) asked if the SOMB can get an opinion from the Attorney General's office to lay the foundation.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) responded yes and indicated that the Board needs to make a motion to do that and vote.

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to table these revisions upon direction from the Attorney General's office.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX S AS PRESENTED:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to table the revisions to Appendix S until the October SOMB Meeting to obtain an opinion from the Attorney General's office.

Michelle Simmons (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Mike Knotek left the meeting at 12:15 pm

Voting Session #963201

Motion to table the Revisions to Appendix S until the October SOMB meeting to obtain an opinion from the Attorney General's office: Sarah Croog; Michelle Simmons 2nd (Question #6)

15 Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes

<u>ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE - PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.160 (Decision Item)</u>: (Attachment #6) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and reviewed the revision process as follows: Revisions Proposed:

- 3.160 A Assigning of Risk level
- 3.160 B. Core Treatment Concepts Relevant Protective Factors
- Clarification Process Expectation for Clarification
- Group Therapy is the preferred modality
- Public Comment in support of the revisions

Ask from the Board:

- Vote to approve the revisions
- If not approved, please provide feedback for the Adult Standards Revisions Committee

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote for final approval.

Board Discussion:

Sarah Croog (SOMB member) asked for further clarification that group therapy is the preferred modality. Erin Austin noted that this is speaking directly to the provider and should be highlighted when training treatment providers. After board discussion, Erin Austin made the suggested revisions to Section 3.160 B. 6.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.160 AS PRESENTED:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to Section 3.160 as amended for approval. Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the Revisions to Section 3.160 as amended for approval: Sarah Croog; Jason Lamprecht 2nd (Question #7)

15 Approve 0 Oppose 0 Abstain Motion Passes

BREAK: 12:30 - 12:35 PM

<u>ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE - PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.163 (Decision Item)</u>: (Attachment #7) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and reviewed the process and revisions done to Section 3.163 regarding the Use of Interpreters as follows:

Revisions History

Public comment

- Support with No comment
- Support with questions about guidance for polygraph examiners

Ask from the Board & Options

- Vote to approve the revisions
- If not approved, please provide feedback for the Adult Standards Revision Committee

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote for final approval.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.163 AS PRESENTED:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revision to Section 3.163 as presented. Katie Ruske (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) commented that the SOMB is way ahead of other State agencies regarding language, culture, ethnic considerations and the use of interpreters.

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the Revisions to Section 3.163 as presented: Sarah Croog; Katie Ruske 2nd (Question #8)

15	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Katie Abeyta voted Yes verbally

<u>ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE - PROPOSED REVISIONS TO APPENDIX E (Decision Item)</u>: (Attachment #8) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and reviewed the process and revisions made to Appendix E as follows:

Revisions made:

- Moved away from the prior matrix to the use of a more research-based desistance calculator
- Simplified the language so each population will know which information to use
- This appendix will be included in the Adult and Juvenile Standards

Ask from the Board:

- Approve the changes in language and table as presented
- Give staff Approval to make future changes to the table as the research emerges

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is a decision item and requires a vote for final approval.

Board Discussion:

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) suggested adding "which may include failure to register" to the 1^{st} paragraph on page 1 and to delete the 2^{nd} paragraph. Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) made the suggested revisions on page 1.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Program Manager) clarified that the ability for the staff to update the research table should be included in the motion.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX E AS AMENDED:

Jason Lamprecht (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to Appendix E as amended and grant staff permission to update as needed.

Sonya Hickson (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #963201

Motion to approve the Revisions to Appendix E as amended and grant staff permission to update as needed: Jason Lamprecht; Sonya Hickson 2nd (Question #9)

15 Approve 0 Oppose 0 Abstain Motion Passes

<u>ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS COMMITTEE - PROPOSED REVISIONS TO APPENDIX I (Action Item)</u>: (Attachment #9) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Kristin Kubacki, Probation Analyst

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and deferred to Kristin Kubacki for further clarification and information regarding revisions to Appendix I.

Issue/Concern:

- The term "seal" or "under seal" is restricting access to treatment documents for clients
- Language Change Recommendation:
 - Change "seal" to as "Suppressed"

Erin Austin reviewed the revisions made and asked the Attorneys and Judges if the verbiage is accurate. Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) responded that the courts use "as suppressed." Erin Austin made the revisions to "suppressed" as discussed.

Ask from the Board:

- Vote to send out for public comment
- If not approved, guidance is needed for next steps

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this is an action item and requires a vote for public comment.

MOTION TO SEND THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX I FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AS AMENDED:

Oppose

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) made a motion to send out for public comment the revisions to appendix I as amended. Lauren Rivas (SOMB Member) 2^{nd} the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

14

Voting Session #963201

Approve

Motion to approve the Revisions to Appendix I as amended out for public comment: Jessica Dotter; Lauren Rivas 2nd (Question #10)

Abstain

Motion Passes

BOARD MEETING AD.	IOURNS: 12:58 pm		

Respectfully,

Jill Trowbridge Date Kimberly Kline Date Program Assistant Chair of the SOMB

VOTES

Question #1

MOTION TO APPROVE THE JULY 2025 MINUTES AS PRESENTED

The motion passed with 12 votes to approve the July 2025 Minutes, 0 votes to object, and

4 votes to abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses				
Percent	Count			
75.00%	12			
0.00%	0			
25.00%	4			
100%	16			

Question #3

MOTION TO APPROVE THE SEX OFFENDER SURCHARGE ALLOCATION REVISION AS PRESENTED

The motion passed with 13 votes to approve the Sex Offender Surcharge Allocation Revision, 0 Votes to Object, and 3 Votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses				
Percent	Count			
81.25%	12			
0.00%	0			
18.75%	4			
100%	16			

Question #5

MOTION TO APPROVE THE SUBSEQUENT REVISIONS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY & RESPONSIBILITY, AS PRESENTED

The motion passed with 16 votes to approve the subsequent revisions for Accountability and Responsibility, 0 votes to Object, and

0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

0 votes to Abstain					
Respo	Responses				
Percent	Count				
100.00%	16				
0.00%	0				
0.00%	0				
100%	16				

Question #2

MOTION TO ACCEPT THE FINDING OF THE ARC SANCTIONS AS IMPOSED

The motion passed with 12 votes to accept the the finding of the ARC Sanctions as Imposed,

0 votes to object, and 4 votes to abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses				
Percent	Count			
75.00%	12			
0.00%	0			
25.00%	4			
100%	16			

Question #4

MOTION TO APPROVE THE INTERNET USE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT AS PRESENTED

The motion passed with 16 votes to approve the approve the Internet Use Guidance Document Document, 0 Votes to Object, 0 Votes to Abstain

Yes No

Abstain **Totals**

Responses				
Percent	Count			
100.00%	16			
0.00%	0			
0.00%	0			
100%	16			

Question #6

MOTION TO TABLE THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX S UNTIL THE OCTOBER SOMB MEETING TO OBTAIN AN OPINION FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

The motion passed with 15 votes to table the revisions to Appendix S and obtain an from the Attorney General's office, 0 vote

to Object, and 0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses				
Percent	Count			
100.00%	15			
0.00%	0			
0.00%	0			
100%	15			

Question #7

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.160 AS AMENDED

The motion passed with 15 votes to approve the revisions to Section 3.160 as amended,

0 votes to Object, and 0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses		
	Percent	Count
	100.00%	15
	0.00%	0
	0.00%	0
	100%	15

Question #9

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX E AS AMENDED AND GRANT STAFF TO UPDATE AS NEEDED

The motion passed with 15 votes to approve the revisions to Appendix E as amended,

0 votes to Object, and 0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses		
Percent	Count	
100.00%	15	
0.00%	0	
0.00%	0	
100%	15	

Question #8

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.163 AS PRESENTED

The motion passed with 15 votes to approve the revisions to Section 3.163 as presented, 0 votes to Object, and 0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses		
Percent	Count	
100.00%	15	
0.00%	0	
0.00%	0	
100%	15	

Question #10

MOTION TO APPROVE THE REVISIONS TO APPENDIX I AS AMENDED AND TO SEND OUT THE REVISIONS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

The motion passed with 14 votes to approve the revisions to Appendix I as amended and to send out for public comment, 0 votes to Object,

and 0 votes to Abstain

Yes No Abstain **Totals**

Responses		
	Percent	Count
	100.00%	14
	0.00%	0
	0.00%	0
	100%	14