

SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, April 18, 2025

THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING

SOMB Members

Allie Miller
Amanda Retting
Carl Blake
Casey Ballinger
David Bourgeois
Jason Lamprecht
Jeff Baker
Jessica Dotter
Katie Abeyta
Katie Ruske
Lauren Rivas
Lisa Mayer
Michelle Simmons
Mike Knotek
Norma Aguilar-Dave
Nicole Feltz
Priscilla Loew
Sarah Croog
Taber Powers
Theresa Weiss

Guests

A'isa Humphries	Laurie Kepros
Alyse Halloway	Lisa Thomas
Amira Minazzi	Maggie Sahlieh
Amy Blackman	Marsha Brewer
Andrei Lobanov-Rostovsky	Matsen Hartsoe
Angel Weant	Meggan Greenwald
Brian Garrett	Nathanya Ahamed
Brittany Rossi	Onnastasia Cole
Christina Gado	Pat Harris
Conrad Gonzales	Rebecca Naugle
Diana Groener	Ryan Mosier
Elizabeth Swayngim	Sarah Marlow
Erin Wieneke	Shannon Folz
Holly Harris-Yanker	Sinjin Sisan
Jae Dee	Sonya Hickson
Janira Pacheco	Stephanie Reed
Jerry Shite	Tara Saulibio
Jessica Flowers	Terry Smith
Jessica Sisneros	Tina Smith
Joanie Vigil	Valerie Hansen
Justin Ensinger	Victoria Halpern
Kristin Kubacki	Yvette Cousins
Laura Nelson	

Absent SOMB Members: Andrew Luxen and Kimberly Kline

Staff Present: Jesse Hansen, Jill Trowbridge, Jake Bergenthal, Raechel Alderete, Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez, Paige Brown, Taylor Redding, and Yuanting Zhang

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:03 am

This meeting was recorded.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced herself and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public. She then read the meeting rules and expectations.

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the WebEx components of the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She asked all to state their names for clarity in the minutes.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) introduced the online SOMB members.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves, and Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Board:

None

Audience:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) asked that the Board reach out to the City of Longmont to educate them regarding the 1,000 ft. residency restriction.

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) noted that resources are available thru CSEAP to help the Board with team skill-building.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Lunch & Learn - Sex Offense Treatment in Prison given by the SOTMP people in June
- Roundtable in Breckenridge on June 6th
- SOMB 102/Roundtable in Boulder on June 9th
- 2025 Conference - July 8-11, 2025
 - Let Taylor know of any lodging changes
 - Board Member Networking event will be held on July 8th
 - Announced the Safer Society raffle fundraiser event will be held on July 9th
 - The DVOMB and SOMB Board meetings will be held separately at the conference
 - Registration opens on April 28 and ends at the end of June
 - Early Bird registration pricing ends at the end of May
 - Reach out to Taylor Kriesel with any training or conference related questions

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) announced the following:

- May 9th is the deadline for nominations for the Awards given at the Conference.
- Recognized Dr. Carl Blake in his retirement from the SOMB. He noted that Sonya Hickson will temporarily replace Dr. Blake as the DYS representative.
- He noted the opportunity to wear jeans in honor Denim Day as part of Sexual Assault awareness month.
- Asked all to close their laptops during the presentations that will be given in honor of Sexual Assault Awareness month.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that the posters around the room have quotes from victims that will be part of the Sexual Assault Awareness month presentations.

Board Announcements:

None

Audience Announcements:

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) shared that April is also 2nd Chance Month which aligns with the SOMB for clients to take therapeutic steps to help them engage with the community.

MARCH MINUTES WERE NOT READY YET.

APPROVE AGENDA:

The agenda was approved by consensus.

THE LATTICES PROGRAM: An Integrated Treatment Approach for High-Risk Forensic Clients (Presentation & Discussion): (No Attachment) (Training Credit) - Diana Groener

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) introduced Diana Groener and discussed her expertise in the field regarding treatment for high-risk clients.

Diana Groener (Presenter) reviewed the LATTICES Program information regarding the treatment aspects for high-risk clients.

- LATTICES Program fills the Gap in services for offenders
- Diana Groener discussed that she and her co-author worked with abusers and survivors and gave a brief background of their past experience.
- Worked to try to prevent the cycle of abuse and worked with offenders and decided to develop the LATTICES Program
- The LATTICES Program follows the research/data/statistics-based treatment for high-risk clients
 - L - long-term lifestyle
 - A - act accountably
 - T - think about thinking
 - T - take time
 - I - integrity inside
 - C - consider the consequences
 - E - effort for excellence
 - S - see the situation

Diana Groener indicated that the gap in treatment services for high-risk clients is due to their antisocial personalities, psychopathic tendencies, and are criminally-oriented who have been siloed and are not the typical client.

Diana Groener then discussed high-risk clients and the treatment difficulties associated with those cases as the clients are typically involved with gang activity, have DUIs, they are many times human or sex traffickers, and are criminally minded. She also indicated that many are “differently brained.”

Diana Groener discussed a book, “The Psychology of Criminal Conduct” that includes the RNR principles of (risk-need-responsivity) and noted this information was used when developing the Lattices program as follows:

- Risk Principle
- The need for resources for the highest risk clients
- Responsivity Factors
 - Gender, Age
 - Cognitive ability
 - Ethnicity/Culture
- With an emphasis on:
 - “Motivation”
 - “Psychopathy”
 - “ACEs” (adverse childhood experiences)
- Relationship and Structuring Skills

Diana Groener reviewed the hallmarks of the LATTICES program to include treatment outcomes and harm reduction programming. She noted that LATTICES is being used in various treatment programs, to include assessment tools, DV specific treatment, SO specific treatment, general criminal treatment, and for those with personality disorders.

Diana Groener then noted that when interviewing a client to watch for ASPD traits, consider full criminal history to include not just the convictions but also the charges.

Diana Groener indicated that there are two books for the LATTICES Program to include a Clinicians Book and a Client Workbook.

She then reviewed a typical LATTICES session as follows:

- Check-ins
- Scan for risk
- Nurture alliance
- Practice problem-solving
- Model Empathy
- Verbally reward
- Session questions:
 - Any drug/alcohol use
 - Any contact with minors of LEOs
 - Any criminal thoughts/actions
 - Any inappropriate sexual thoughts/actions
 - Who are you sleeping with this week?

Diana Groener indicated that the program includes 37 Modules which incorporate:

- Your thinking
- Prosocial life skills
- Social signals
- Decision-making skills
- Goal setting

**Norma Aguilar-Dave arrived at 9:30 am*

Amanda Retting arrived at 9:35

Board Discussion:

Sara Croog (SOMB Member) asked Diana Groener who succeeds in treatment and how to capture the highest risk clients who are in the criminal justice system. Diana Groener responded that the Criminal Justice System is not sure how to capture those clients, and indicated LATTICES outcome research will be collected in the future. She noted that success may mean only less criminal activity, not necessarily internal relational change.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked what determined the 18-month timeframe treatment program when working with psychopathic clients. Diana Groener (Presenter) noted that it was based on research and indicated that a 2-year treatment program was too long, based on jurisdiction restrictions, based on how much change is actually realistic, and to help clients find their motivation for change. Carl Blake asked if there is a formal motivational assessment that is used for these clients. Diana Groener responded that she uses the PAI (Personality/mental health issues) assessment. Carl Blake noted that he typically uses the Pre-cursor for Change assessment tool and asked if there is any tool to use when working with juveniles to encourage motivational change or a model for juveniles to prevent long-term trajectory. Diana Groener responded that the South Carolina Juvenile Justice program for high-risk juveniles used the LATTICES system to work on a program for juveniles in their detention system. She indicated the necessity for many versions of the LATTICES program be available based on specific need.

David Bourgeois (SOMB Member) asked if those high-risk clients in Oregon who are on probation for 5-6 years and complete the LATTICES program if they are they still on probation after the program is finished. Diana Groener responded that in Oregon there are various types of probation clients and noted that it is rare that clients are off probation after completing the LATTICES program. She indicated that lower-risk clients may be able to come off probation after LATTICES treatment.

Audience Discussion:

Audience member asked where to access the LATTICES Program. Diana Groener responded that this information is available on the Safer Society website.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) thanked Diana Groener for this presentation and the work they are doing in Oregon.

BREAK: 10:37 - 10:50

SEXUAL ASSAULT AWARENESS MONTH (Presentation & Discussion) (No Attachment) - Paige Brown, SOMB Implementation Specialist, Casey Ballinger, SOMB Member, Katie Abeyta, SOMB Vice-Chair, Jenny Stith, WINGS Foundation, and a Survivor

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the agenda item and then deferred to the presenters for further discussion and information.

Jenny Stith (WINGS Foundation) introduced herself as the Executive Director of WINGS and thanked the SOMB for being invited to the meeting. She then reviewed the presentation Agenda as follows:

- Space to heal
- Wings Mission & Vision
- Discussion of the article regarding a case that was 44 years old.

Board & Guest Discussion:

- There was discussion from the Board and Guests regarding when, who, and how victims disclose their trauma.
- There was discussion from the Board and Guests regarding survivor quoted statements and how the survivor would have been impacted by sexual abuse.
- The Board reiterated the need for the Victim Representative on the treatment team.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced Debra (survivor) who told her powerful story. It was asked of Debra when communication to the survivor needs to start. Debra, responded right from the beginning.

An audience member asked Debra in what ways does the Justice System empower survivors. Debra responded that the Justice system needs to just hear them and listen to what the survivors are saying.

An audience member asked Debra what do you want people to know about sexual abuse. Debra responded to believe them, listen, and communicate their wishes.

Board Discussion:

Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) thanked Debra and noted that professionals are fearful of retraumatizing the survivor, and asked if case specific information needs to be communicated to the survivor. Debra noted that if the survivor asks, they need to know and that it is part of their healing. She mentioned that a simple email or callback is generally all they need.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) thanked Debra and noted that it is probably difficult for her to speak to this group who mainly works with the offenders. He appreciated her challenging the group to see where there is a gap in support for survivors in order to improve the system.

Jessica Dotter (SOMB Member) noted that the prosecutors are trained in Colorado to be trauma informed, but indicated there are those that don't know that. She mentioned the need to ask survivors what does justice mean for them and what do their self-care needs/healing entail. Jessica Dotter then asked Debra what has helped her heal in the criminal justice system so she can relay this to other District Attorneys. Debra responded that their circle of support is of utmost importance to survivors and that the legal system needs to support that need. She also indicated to listen to the victims.

Michelle Simmons (SOMB Member) asked Debra for suggestions on how to make the process better as the survivor. Debra responded to refer them to Faith-Based therapists when requested.

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Member) asked regarding the lack of accountability by the offender if survivors need that accountability. Debra responded the need to fight for offender accountability as it helps the survivor know they are not at fault. She noted that survivors need offender acknowledgement they did wrong.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) asked about the Parole process. Debra responded there were 5 parole hearings for her case and noted that each hearing retraumatizes survivors. She indicated that she spoke at every hearing, and noted that the offender did not participate treatment in prison.

Jenny Stith (Wings Foundation) expressed that she is struck by Debra's extraordinary courage and thanked her for bringing along her personal support group.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) thanked Debra and expressed that understanding the impact our decisions made come into focus. He then asked if her offender was he dishonorably discharged while in the Army.

David Bourgeois (SOMB Member) asked if it helps that her offender is on Sex Offender Registry list. Debra responded that he was already on the list when her attack happened.

Audience Member asked what is her day-to-day life like knowing he is out and not in treatment. Debra responded that she feels the need to look over her shoulder, and noted while he is on Parole, he will behave. She fears he will come for her. Debra noted at times she is very fearful.

Lauren Rivas (SOMB Member) asked if he is in treatment now in the community. Debra responded yes as far as she knows. Lauren Rivas asked what insight can a treatment provider help offenders understand to be more victim-centered. Debra responded to use discernment and pay attention to the signs and patterns of the offenders.

Casey Ballinger (SOMB Member) noted that in Colorado with teams using a victim representative if there is solace to know that a victim representative is part of the team for the survivor. Debra responded knowing that they are part helps a little. Casey Ballinger indicated the need to use victim representative earlier rather than later and that the victim representative can pass information to the survivor. Debra indicated the need to have conversation both ways is helpful.

Robert (Audience member) mentioned as a husband that intimacy is a struggle due to the trauma of the attack. He noted that many victim physical ailments are a result of the trauma of abuse.

LUNCH: 12:32 - 1:00

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS, SECTION 3.160 (Decision Item) (Attachment #2) - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist, and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) gave a brief overview of the following revisions to Section 3.160 of the Adult Standards:

- Assign a risk level for each client
- Core Treatment Concepts

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) reviewed the Revisions Process: Issues/Concerns

- Update research on RNR
- Treatment Modifications
- Flexibility of the Standards

Proposed Revisions: 3.160A

1. Preliminary assignment of risk shall be conducted by the provider within the first 30 days of treatment.
Assignment of risk level shall conform to a commonly used framework that conveys meaningful information about the client and informs treatment planning. This may include but is not limited to, use a risk classification and communication framework such as low, low-moderate, moderate, moderate-high, and high-risk levels OR well below average, below average, average, above average, or well above average. For information regarding group composition and exposure of risk levels, please see 3.170 C.
2. Treatment providers shall tailor a client's treatment dosage and intensity to match the assess risk of the client. Treatment dosage congruent with the client's risk and need increases the likelihood of a positive treatment outcome. Responsivity factors (such as motivation/readiness for treatment, culture, learning style, level of functioning, development maturity, and language skills) shall be identified and incorporation when determining the course of treatment. As a client's risk or needs change, the provider shall modify the treatment dosage accordingly. The provider shall consult with the CST regarding the need for referral to a program of different intensity if not offered in her/her program.
3. Changed (see Section 2.000 to "Appendix V" for a list of risk assessment tools.)

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) indicated that some of the revisions to Section 3.00 included adding protective and responsivity factors regarding attitudes and beliefs, offending motivation for treatment, learning compacities, and learning criminology within the risk factors.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that many of these revisions are updates to include risk-need-responsivity factors.

3.160 B 3: Core Treatment Concepts

3. Providers shall identify relevant protective factors, for the client to strengthen and target in treatment that directly relate to the client's dynamic risk factors and/or that are supported in sex-offense peer-reviewed literature. Protective factors should be identified using the Offense Specific Evaluation, along with ongoing assessment and interactions with the client (See Section 2.200)

Discussion Point: Protective factors are positive qualities or behaviors that help reduce the likelihood of reoffending. Some of these factors are the positive counterpart of specific risk factors, such as having good self-control instead of acting on impulse. Others are helpful on their own, such as having clear life goals, taking prescribed medication correctly, or having a good relationship with a therapist.

- c. Restructure cognitive distortions and offense-related attitudes and beliefs;
- d. Establish adaptive pro-social function including self-management skills and strategies;
- e. Promote healthy sexuality including sexual self-regulation skills.
- f. Promote healthy relationships including social, emotional and behavior competence skills;

3.160 4. B.: Clarification work shall be a required part of treatment - Moved the Discussion Point after the Standard language.

3.160 4. H.: Group therapy is the preferred modality
Revised to *"meet the specific need of the client."*

Erin Austin (Implementation Specialist) noted that the ask from the Board is to vote to release these revisions for Public Comment.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve and send the revisions to the Adult Standard Section 3.160 out for public comment as presented.

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested changing to "the modality used shall be documented" in the Group therapy Section 3.160 B. 6. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) agreed with Carl Blake's suggestion.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that treatment shall assign what modality is needed. She indicated that the language can be reworked now and send out for public comment and then bring it back for a decision item with the change made.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) suggested revising the language to "the modality used and any subsequent changes in modality shall be documented" and asked if this will cause any problems with the voting process. Carl Blake (SOMB Member) responded he would prefer to revise the simple language change he suggested and add to the motion. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) agreed with the simple change.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) amended the motion to include the revised language as amended.

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) 2nd the amended motion.

Voting Session #265382

Motion to approve and send the revisions to the Adult Standards Section 3.160 out for public comment as amended: Carl Blake; Nicole Feltz 2nd (Question #1)

18	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS TO SECTION 3.600 & 3.700 SOTMP (Decision Item): (Attachment #3) Erin Austin, Implementation Specialist, Taber Powers, SOMB Member, and Amanda Retting, SOMB Member

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) reviewed the following revisions to Section 3.600 and 3.700 of the Adult Standards:

Summary of Revisions:

- Moved section for Continuity of Care above SOTMP to follow requirements for Community Providers
- Separated out requirements for SOTMP to the bottom
- Added new statutory language
- Added additional guidance for other stakeholders regarding SOTMP
- Provided guidance on SOTMP Modifications

Section 3.600 Transition Points and Continuity of Care

- Added standard cross-referencing to Section 7.040 and 7.100 B.2 regarding changes in previously approved conditions and changes in member of the CST/treatment provider
- Requirement to document changes or imposition of new condition/permission
- Discussion Point on changes in risk upon release to community and importance of maintaining protection factors
- Discussion of prior approvals for Approved Supervisions/Approved Community Support Persons

3.700 Treatment within the Department of Corrections

- Updated Statutory Language about SOTMP providers and SOMB Approval
- Revisions to requirement to complete and OSE prior to treatment
- Clarification that SOTMP completion is NOT a completion of SO treatment
- Discharge Summary only required upon Successful Completion of SOTMP

Committee Discussions/Decisions

- Revisions were done by SOTMP Staff in Collaboration with SOMB Staff
- Adult Standards and Best Practices both supported the revisions
- Brings clarity to SOTMP program and requirements
- Helps address expectations for transition from DOC to Parole

Public Comment - 6- Yes, 1 - No

Public Comment Summary

- Support
 - Focus on Continuity of Care between SOTMP and the Community
 - Clarification on requirements for discharge reports
- Issues
 - DOC Therapists not being SOMB Approved - Per Statute
 - Wording on Discharge Summaries giving the impression they may not be required at all
 - Referral for community treatment is "one size fits all" and not RNR
 - Language regarding "meeting criteria" vs "successfully complete"

Subsequent Revisions

- Completed/Successful Completion to meet criteria for successful progression through the SOTMP, or progress through
- Unsuccessful and Administrative Discharges
- Providers shall complete a discharge summary following the procedures outlined in DOC Administrative Regulation 700-32 regarding determinations concerning the termination of clients from offense specific treatment providers. Due to procedural timeframes within DOC, provider may modify the requirement of 3.210 B and adhere to the required timelines of DOC.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that the Ask from the Board is to Vote to Approve the revisions and noted that if approved they will be published in the May 2025 version of the Standards. She indicated they will be due for implementation on July 1, 2025, and if not approved, they will be sent back to Committee with feedback.

Board Discussion:

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) suggested a grammatical correction to Section 3.730 B and change termination of clients from offense specific treatment providers to “programs” in that section.

Audience Discussion:

None

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to Section 3.600 and 3.700 of the Adult Standards as amended

Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #265382

Motion to approve the Revisions the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 3.600 and 3.700 as amended: Carl Blake; Lisa Mayer 2nd (Question #2)

18	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

ADULT AND JUVENILE STANDARDS REVISIONS TO APPENDIX E (Decision Item): (Attachment #4) Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) reviewed the following revisions to Appendix E of the Adult and Juvenile Standards:

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that the Matrix was created to address individuals who have committed sex offenses and have a new non-sex crime. Appendix E was created prior to Sunset but due to new legislation, the courts now have the discretion to allow or not allow an evaluation on a new non-sex crime.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated the following language was added for clarity:

“These guidelines apply to sex offense-specific evaluations for adult males with a prior adult sex offense conviction, adult and juvenile males with a prior juvenile sex offense, and adult and juvenile females who are convicted of a new non-sex offense and have been ordered by the Court to undergo an offense-specific evaluation.”

“These guidelines also apply to adult males and females with a prior adult sex offense conviction who are convicted of Failure to Register and have been ordered by the Court to undergo an offense-specific evaluation”

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that the language will be updated and the Statutory language will be removed. She noted that revisions will go out for public comment and come back for a decision item at a later date.

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the Adult and Juvenile Standards Revision to Appendix E as presented. Theresa Weiss (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Voting Session #265382

Motion to approve the Revisions to Adult and Juvenile Standards Appendix E as presented: Nicole Feltz; Theresa Weiss 2nd (Question #3)

18	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Board Discussion:

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) suggested updating the Table/Chart has been updated in the past year and suggested to import the new data into this document. He moved to give the SOMB staff the ability to update this table/chart as requested.

Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) noted he is in favor of updating the data in the table as long as the table will be dated.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) noted that when this comes back after public comment, then the table/chart can be updated.

ADULT STANDARDS REVISIONS TO SECTION 5.110, 5.115, AND 5.120 (Decision Item): (Attachment #5) - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist, and Taber Powers, SOMB Member

Erin Austin reviewed the following revisions to Section 5.100, 5.115, and 5.120 of the Adult Standards:

Erin Austin (Implementation Specialist) reviewed the public comments and indicated that the reasons have been noted for those with a "no" approval.

Erin Austin (Implementation Specialist) indicated the following revision to this document:

- Removed "of subsection of this section" at the bottom of page 1. The sentence now reads: *"These requirements do not apply to the division of youth services."*
- The Juvenile Standards will be revised in the coming months and will include the paragraph about DYS.

Erin Austin (Implementation Specialist) noted that if these revisions are approved then they will be slated for implementation on July 1, 2025.

Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to Section 5.110, 5.115, and 5.120 of the Adult Standards as presented.

Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Board Discussion:

None

Voting Session #265382

Motion to approve the Revisions to Section 5.110, 5.115, and 5.120 of the Adult Standards as presented: Sarah Croog; Jeff Baker 2nd (Question #5)


16	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

JESSE HANSEN (ODVSOM Program Manager) acknowledged and thanked Carl Blake for the phenomenal work that he has done over the past 17 years. There were many, many accolades and words of appreciation for Carl Blake by Katie Abeyta, Missy Gursky, Erin Austin, Taber Powers, Tom Leversee, Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Angel Weant, Theresa Weiss, Lauren Rivas, Sonya Hickson and a number online.


Carl Blake (SOMB Member) then thanked everyone on the Board for their kindness and support and discussed his new position with the Judicial Department.

BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 2:30 pm

Respectfully,

 5/16/25
Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant

Date

 5/16/25
Kimberly Kline
Chair of the SOMB

Date

Session Name: 04-18-2025 (Denver, GMT-06:00)

Date Created: (04/18/2025, 9:31)

Questions: 5

Results Detail

Last Name	First Name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
		Motion to Approve and Send the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 3.160 out for Public Comment as Presented	Motion to Approve the Revisions to the Adult Standards Section 3.600 and 3.700 as Amended	Motion to Approve the Revisions to the Adult and Juvenile Standards Appendix E as Presented	Motion to Approve the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 5.110, 5.115, and 5.120 as Presented
Miller	Allie				
Abeyta	Katie	1	1	1	1
Aguilar-Dave	Norma	1	1	1	**
Baker	Jeff	1	1	1	1
Ballinger	Casey	1	1	1	1
Blake	Carl	1	1	1	1
Bourgeois	David	1	1	1	1
Croog	Sarah	1	1	1	1
Dotter	Jessica	1	1	1	1
Feltz	Nicole	1	1	1	1
Kline	Kim	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Knotek	Mike	**	**	**	**
Mayer	Lisa	1	1	1	1
Miller	Allie	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Lamprecht	Jason	1	1	1	1
Loew	Priscilla	**	**	**	**
Luxen	Andrew	1	1	1	1
Powers	Taber	1	1	1	1
Retting	Amanda	1	1	-	-
Rivas	Lauren	1	1	1	1
Ruske	Katie	1	1	1	1
Simmons	Michelle	1	1	1	1
Weiss	Theresa	1	1	1	1
		18 - Yes	18 - Yes	17 - Yes	16 - Yes
		0 - No	0 - No	0 - No	0 - No
		0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain	0 - Abstain

Answer Key:

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

** = Not present at time of vote

