

# SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, March 21, 2025

## THIS MEETING WAS HELD IN PERSON AND VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING

### SOMB Members

Amanda Retting  
Andrew Luxen  
Carl Blake  
Casey Ballinger  
David Bourgeois  
Jason Lamprecht  
Jeff Baker  
Jessica Dotter  
Katie Abeyta  
Katie Ruske  
Kimberly Kline  
Lauren Rivas  
Lisa Mayer  
Michelle Simmons  
Mike Knotek  
Nicole Feltz  
Priscilla Loew  
Sarah Croog  
Taber Powers  
Theresa Weiss

### Guests

A'isa Humphries  
Alexander Robinson  
Alyse Holloway  
Amira Minazzi  
Beth George  
Christina Gado  
Conrad Gonzales  
Dawn Mowery  
Jaime Soto  
Kat Gatseos  
Laurie Kepros  
Maggie Sahlieh  
Marni Lyons  
Marsha Brewer  
Pat Harris  
Rebecca Naugle  
Roger Kincade  
Ryan Mosier  
Sara Raisley  
Sarah Marlow

**Absent SOMB Members:** Allie Miller, Norma Aguilar-Dave

**Staff Present:** Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Ellen Creecy, Erin Austin, Jake Bergenthal, Jesse Hansen, Jill Trowbridge, Paige Brown, Rachael Collie, Raechel Alderete, Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez, Taylor Redding, and Yuanting Zhang

**SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:09 am**

This meeting was recorded.

### INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Katie Abeyta (SOMB Vice-Chair) introduced herself and welcomed the SOMB members in attendance along with the members of the public. She then read the meeting rules and expectations.

Kimberly Kline (SOMB Chair) introduced herself.

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the aspects of the WebEx components of the meeting, and indicated how the meeting will be conducted. She asked all to state their names for clarity in the minutes.

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) introduced herself. She introduced Lauren Rivas, representing Mental Health Professionals as the new SOMB member replacing Hannah Pilla. Lauren Rivas then introduced herself and gave a brief background of her professional experience.

The SOMB in-person board members introduced themselves and Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) introduced the Board members attending online.

The ODVSOM Staff introduced themselves.

The in-person guests introduced themselves, and Erin Austin (ODVSOM Staff) introduced the online guests.

#### **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

##### **Board:**

None

##### **Audience:**

None

#### **ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

##### **Staff:**

Taylor Redding (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following ODVSOM Conference and training updates:

- Informed/Approved Supervision Training (educational only) will be held on April 7, 2025 in Grand Junction, CO.
- VASOR/SOTIPS Introduction will be held on April 29 & April 30, 2025 at 710 Kipling St., Lakewood, CO
- Standards Booster Training will be virtual only and held on May 8, 2025
- SOMB 101 Training will be held on June 5, 2025 and a Roundtable Discussion will be held on June 6, 2025 in Summit County at the Dillon Town Hall.
- SOMB 102 Training and Roundtable will be held on June 9, 2025 in Boulder - location TBD
- 2025 ODVSOM Conference - July 8-11, 2025
  - Still need a few board members to send their check-in and check-out dates.
  - The Board Member only networking event will be held on Tuesday, July 8.
  - The ODVSOM Board meeting will be held on Wednesday, July 9.
  - There will be silent auction hosted by Safer Society on Wednesday, July 9<sup>th</sup> and will be looking for donations from board member, providers, and the public.
  - Conference Registration will open on April 29, 2025. Early bird registration ends at the end of May and regular registration ends at the end of June

Raechel Alderete (ODVSOM Staff) announced the following:

- Reminded the SOMB of the proper meeting process when making a motion to table an agenda item.
- Regarding Future Agenda items she noted that the Executive Committee is currently working on these requests and indicated they will be addressed in the future.
- Recognized that March is Women's History month and read some important facts about women's history in the U.S.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) announced the following:

- The recruitment video created by Orange Circle has been completed and was recently used in a recruitment presentation. He noted that the final version will be ready by mid-summer and indicated that this video should help in recruiting mental health practitioners.
- Film Screening about sexual violence and abuse will be given by Ali Eberhardt (Breaking Silence Organization) on March 30<sup>th</sup> from 3:00 - 5:00 pm at the Table Public House in Denver. A link to register for this will be put in the meeting chat.
- Jesse Hansen acknowledged the passing of Board Member Gregg Kildow. He noted all the accomplishments that Gregg achieved throughout his career. It was noted that he will be sorely missed and that we wish his family the best.

- Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (DCJ Deputy Director) also noted that Gregg Kildow was not only a colleague, but a personal friend. He continued to mention more of his personal relationship with Gregg Kildow, of his kind and caring spirit, and that he will be missed.
- There was a moment of silence in memory of Gregg Kildow. Jesse Hansen (SOMB Program Manager) indicated that a card will be circulated for all to sign.

*Andrew Luxen (SOMB Member) arrived at 9:32 am*

**Board Announcements:**

Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) followed-up from comments made at the February SOMB meeting regarding 13 individuals were revoked for technical violations with 2 related to sexual behavior. She noted that the rest of the revocations were for unsuccessful discharge due to non-sex offense related issues. Nicole Feltz then clarified that regarding internet usage, she noted when the court orders restrictions to internet usage that Probation follows the court orders.

Amanda Retting (SOMB Member) indicated that the Department of Corrections will re-open the SOTMP treatment groups in Arkansas Valley Correctional facility in April.

**Audience Announcements:**

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) thanked the social workers and acknowledged that March 18<sup>th</sup> was National Public Defense Day. She also noted regarding Women's history month that most of the public defenders throughout the State of Colorado are women.

**APPROVAL OF THE FEBRUARY 2025 SOMB MINUTES (Decision Item): (Attachment #1)**

*Taber Powers (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the February 2025 SOMB Minutes as presented.*

*Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Voting Session #252080**

**Motion to approve the February 2025 SOMB Minutes as presented: Taber Powers; Jeff Baker 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #1)**

16	Approve	0	Oppose	2	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

**APPROVE AGENDA:**

The agenda was approved by consensus.

**PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SECTION 4.0 AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES - CRIMINAL HISTORY (Discussion & Vote): (Attachment #2)**

**Raechel Alderete, SOMB Program Coordinator and Dr. Carl Blake, ARC Chair**

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) introduced the proposed revisions to Section 4.0 and the Criminal History Administrative Policies and highlighted as follows (see document revisions):

- Expanding the definition of conviction to be consistent with other policy boards
- Current Version of the Standard and proposed revisions - see revisions in Red
- Proposed Revision to Section 4.100 - in "red"
- Proposed Revision to Section 4.100 D. - in "red"
- Proposed Revision to Section 4.130 C. - in "red"
- Revisions to occur throughout Section 4.100 for the 1<sup>st</sup> application, and in each of the Associate Level, Continued Placement, Move up, Renewal, Clinical Supervisor sections along with the Evaluator and Polygraph sections.
- Proposed Revisions to the Administrative Policies - Appendix A: Listing as a Provider:
  - Item #2 in "Red"
  - Item #3 in "Red"
  - Item #4 in "Red"

- Revisions Process
  - Application Review Committee (ARC) is proposing these Standards Revisions
  - Applications will be revised based on these revisions
  - Best Practices Committee also reviewed the proposed language

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) noted that the ask for the Board is to vote to send the revisions out for Public Comment and she further clarified the reason for these changes. She noted that the plan is to have all providers go through a criminal history background throughout the renewal process.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) then deferred to Dr. Carl Blake (Application Review Committee Chair).

Dr. Carl Blake (ARC Chair) noted the need to figure out how to operationalize these changes and implementation process on an individual case. He noted he is in favor of the current revisions.

#### **Board Discussion:**

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) asked for clarification regarding the 10-day requirement to notify the SOMB of any changes a provider's criminal history. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) responded to contact any SOMB staff, currently Reggin Palmitesso-Martinez and the criminal history will be incorporated in all applicable documents. Taber Powers noted to clearly announce in the website for providers to reach out to the SOMB staff if they have any charges, summons, or various violations against them. Raechel Alderete noted that the new requirement will be clearly stated on the website, in bulletins, and updates as to who to reach out to.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated that he supports these revisions.

#### **Audience Discussion:**

None

*Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve and send the revisions to Section 4.0 and the Criminal History Administrative Policies out for public comment.*

*Andrew Luxen (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

#### **Voting Session #252080**

**Motion to approve and send the revisions to Section 4.0 and the Criminal History Administrative Policies out for public comment: Sarah Croog; Andrew Luxe 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #2)**

17	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

#### **ADULT STANDARDS REVISION COMMITTEE - SECTION 3.163 & 3.164 LANGUAGE, CULTURE & USE OF INTERPRETERS FOR TREATMENT PROVIDERS (Decision Item) (Attachment #3) - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist, and Taber Powers, Adult Standards Revisions Chair**

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the revisions to Section 3.163 & Section 3.164 agenda item and noted that if approved, these revisions will be sent out for public comment.

Erin Austin reviewed the reason for the current revisions to the Standards and some of the process involved in doing so along with the following information:

#### **Current Standards**

- Section 6.000 Addresses use of interpreters with Polygraph Examiners
- Board Ratified section 2.0 in 2024 with new language
- There is currently no guidance on using an interpreter within treatment

#### **Issues/concerns**

- The updates to the statutes include referring to a provider who speaks the "target language."
- When there is no provider that speaks the "target language," most often an interpreter is needed.

- There is current no guidance in the standards for providers or teams.

Literature Review/Updated Research was conducted by Dr. Rachael Collie prior to the creation of the language within Section 2.0 for evaluators.

#### Committee discussion/Decisions

- The Language in Section 2.0 was used as a model.
- Language was added specifically to highlight treatment issues, along with discussion points to address the challenges of using an interpreter in treatment.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) then reviewed the following revisions:

- Section 3.163 - Language, Culture and Ethnic Considerations - this section was added (see document revisions in “Red”) and was created using the language from Section 2.0
- Section 3.164 - Use of Interpreters - this section was added (see document revisions in “Red”) and was created using the language from Section 2.0 for the use of interpreters and the need for cultural sensitivity.
  - Section 3.164 A. - Revisions were made to a., b., f. and a discussion point was added related to the use of interpreters.
  - Section 3.164 B. - Revisions were made to 3.164 B. - a discussion point was added.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that the translation should be in the “spirit” of what is being spoken when a literal translation is not available in that language and that evaluations may not translate the same. She noted that providers can refuse clients if they do not have the band width to supply translation services or to offer all the special needs that may come up for those who do not speak English or Spanish.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that if the revisions are approved, that they will be sent out for public comment.

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) noted that interpretive services can be arranged anytime during the treatment process, by the treatment provider or the client. He indicated that much of the material should be translated into written form. Taber Powers mentioned that when an interpreter is used that treatment should be one-on-one and not in a group setting.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) noted that the Adult Standards have been interpreted into Spanish and are on the SOMB Website even though they are not the most current. She mentioned that the Juvenile Standards will be translated into Spanish soon.

#### Board Discussion:

Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) noted that confidentiality for providers is important and questioned if it needs to be spelled out. Taber Powers (SOMB Member) responded that confidentiality is spelled out in the Standards for providers and noted sometimes in group settings that confidentiality might need to be waived. He indicated that the interpreter might also be required to sign a confidentiality waiver.

#### Audience Discussion:

None

*Nicole Feltz (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve and send the revisions to Section 3.163 & 3.164 out for public comment as presented.*

*Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

#### Board Discussion:

None

#### Voting Session #252080

Motion to approve and send the Revisions to Section 3.163 & 3.164 out for public comment as presented: Nicole Feltz; Sarah Croog 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #3)

18	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

Judge Loew voted “Yes” in the Chat.

**BREAK: 10:19 - 10:40**

**UPDATE ON POLICY BRIEF REGARDING INTERNET USE (Discussion) (No Attachment) - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist**

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) presented an update on the Internet Policy Use Brief as follows:

- Gave the background and history as to why this policy brief was created.
- She indicated that this brief will give guidance for evaluators and treatment providers regarding internet use for clients and was not intended to be a Standard even though research has been done and it uses current best practice.
- Erin Austin noted that the SOMB may define in the Standards what a policy brief is or white paper is or any other “guidance” document.
- Erin Austin noted that Appendix M may be the removed or replaced with a more robust document.
- She noted that this policy brief may be included as an Appendix, at a later time, and may be included in Section 3 of the Standards.

Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Researcher) indicated that the Best Practice Committee has discussed this policy brief as being an appendix and what is the process to do so. She noted the need to clean up the document to fit an appendix format, bring in the summary of the research considerations, and include practical information as to what works and what doesn’t work to follow best practices. Rachael Collie noted it will then be sent back to the Best Practices Committee for review and then to the Board for approval.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) mentioned that this document should provide guidance until case law comes into effect. She noted that there are situations in the field where law has not been created, and where best practices should be used for providers.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Program Coordinator) indicated that if this discussion item is tabled, the process would then be to bring an update back to the next board meeting for further discussion.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) She indicated that the Best Practice Committee will look at this document at their next meeting on April 8, 2025 and noted that hopefully the document will come back to the Board in April.

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**

None

**PROPOSED REVISIONS TO JUVENILE STANDARDS SECTION 10.00, REMOVAL OF APPENDIX K, SECTION 3.151 & SECTION 5.00 (Decision Item): (Attachment #4) Paige Brown, SOMB Implementation Specialist and Theresa Weiss, Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee, Chair**

Paige Brown (Juvenile Implementation Specialist) reviewed the following revisions to Section 10, Appendix K, Section 3.151 and Section 5.000 of the Juvenile Standards as follows:

- Current Version of Standard - Appendix K, Section 10.000, Section 3.151 & Section 5.00
- Proposed Revisions
- Revision Summary
- Public Comment Summary - in support of the revisions
- Committee Discussion/Decisions
  - Removal of Appendix K
  - Significant revisions were made to Section 10
  - Ensuring that when additional conditions are imposed that this section would apply
  - No Changes since Public Comment as public comment was in support of revisions

Paige Brown (Juvenile Standards Implementation Specialist) noted that the ask from the Board is to approve the removal of Appendix K and approve the revisions to Section 10.0.

### Board Discussion:

Taber Powers (SOMB Member) asked if the terms and conditions being referred to in Section 10.000 are the ones that are ordered by the court. Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) responded that the Committee did not feel any changes were needed and that these are referring to the general terms and conditions used by supervising agencies. She mentioned that the entire Standard will be numbered as 10.000.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) then reviewed the revisions made to Section 3.151 (Treatment Plan Section) as follows:

- Current Version of Standard
- Treatment plans shall:
  - B. *Support* victim impact, victim empathy, and victim clarification goals. (See Section 9.000 for guidance.) Added *Discussion Point* - *"Providers should include input from the victim or victim representative when possible."*
  - C. Be designated to address strengths, risks, and needs in areas identified by the evaluation *or any assessments completed* (Refer to Section 2.000 and Appendix E (Sexual Offense History Decision Aid))
  - D. Incorporate all identified treatment contact areas *and outcomes*, as appropriate. (Refer to Section 3.130 and Section 3.150)
  - E. Contain clearly stated goals, objectives, and interventions that are individualized, *specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound*.
  - K. Added this section: *Be provided to the supervision officer/agency when initially updated, and whenever revised, along with monthly summaries or progress reports as per 5.310(d). The treatment plan may also be released to individuals with a valid release of information.*
- Revisions Summary
  - Revisions made in Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee
  - Approved by Best Practices
  - 1<sup>st</sup> Board Review as Action Item January 2025
    - Approved for Public Comment
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> Board Review as Decision Item March 2025
- Public Comment Summary
  - One Comment received - in support of the proposed revisions
- Committee Discussions/Decisions
  - Changes from public comment - none

Paige Brown (Implementation Specialist) indicated that the ask from the Board is to vote to approve the revisions to Section 3.151.

Paige Brown (SOMB Implementation Specialist) then reviewed the revisions made to Section 5.000 (Community Supervision Section) as follows:

- Revisions to Section 5.00
- Proposed Revisions are in "Red" section by section
- Revisions Summary
  - Division of Youth Corrections changed to Division of Youth Services in Section 5.110 E., 5.600, 5.610, 5.610 DD/ID, 5.640, 5.660, 5.670, 5.680, 5.810
  - 5.110 A. - Changed to supervising officer OR agent
  - 5.110 DD/ID - Changed to supervising officer OR agent
  - 5.150 is new and will have a more inclusive and overarching take on the responsibilities of the supervising agency.
  - 5.200 is now a section specific to the responsibilities of the supervising probation officer.
  - 5.201 refers back to complying with the new Standard 5.150, responsibilities of the supervising agency.
  - 5.202 was pulled from the original 5.200 with regard to the Chief Justice Directives, probation standards, and local departmental policies.
  - 5.204 indicates to ensure that probation officers shall notify juveniles that they must register IF it has been ordered by the court.
  - 5.215 states that probation officers shall complete all training as required by the Probation Standards.
  - Reviewed standard by standard
  - 5.500 was revised to include county child welfare agencies in addition to DHS
  - 5.505 refers back to compliance with the new Standard 5.150, responsibilities of the supervising agency
  - 5.510 references child welfare agencies

- 5.510 A. added victim safety and risk level “in the home” and included a discussion point regarding considering victim safety in the assessments and not removing the victim from the home. This discussion point was moved from 5.510B.
- 5.510 B. added working cooperatively with the team not only for treatment decisions, but also regarding assessment, supervision, and monitoring. A Discussion point was added from the introduction pertaining to juveniles who may not fall under the statutory purview of the SOMB because DHS does not always work with SOMB purview cases.
- 5.510 E. enhancements to this Standard include monitoring and updating the supervision, safety or support plan using evaluations, assessments and reports from and by the MDT.
- 5.510 F. and G. changed to be “Recommendations” and “inclusion of” rather than starting with “should” and also adds “as appropriate” when referring to making recommendations to the court.
- 5.510 H. includes County DHS and child welfare staff as opposed to DHS staff.
- 5.605 refers back to compliance with the new Standard 5.150, responsibilities of the supervising agency
- 5.610 updates the name of the agency and an additional statement was added that “DYS shall only refer juveniles to whom these standards apply for evaluation assessment, and treatment only to providers listed with the Sex Offender Management Board.”
- 5.610 DD/ID was added mirroring DD/ID language that has been used through the Standards and updates to the name of the agency.
- 5.640 updates to the name of the agency and fixes the number of zeroes in Section 5.000
- 5.660 updates the name of the agency
- 5.670 updates the name of the agency and revises the Notice to Register section of the Standard noting that it shall be provided and signed by the juvenile, notifying them of the duty to register until relieved of the requirement.
- 5.680 revised to “Shall” instead of “should” and updates the name of the agency.
- 5.690 is a new standard - “Shall develop the supervision plan on the basis of the individualized evaluation, ongoing assessments, and report of current behavioral observations by the MDT.”
- Public Comment Summary
  - One Comment received - in support of the proposed revisions
- Committee Discussions/Decisions
  - The Committee discussed kinship providers based on the public comment, but decided that it was sufficiently covered in Section 5.110 H. which states “Parents, caregivers and other nature support systems.”
  - The Committee agreed to add QRTP to the list of therapeutic providers and the Best Practices Committee approved of this change.

Paige Brown (Implementation Specialist) indicated that the ask from the Board is to vote to approve the revisions to Section 5.00.

#### Audience Discussion:

None

*Andrew Luxen (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve the revisions to the Juvenile Standards Section 10.00, 3.151, 5.00 and the Removal of Appendix K as presented Sarah Croog (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.*

#### Voting Session #252080

Motion to approve the Revisions to the Juvenile Standards Section 10.00, 3.131, 5.00 and the removal of Appendix K as presented: Andrew Luxen; Sarah Croog 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #4)

19	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

*Judge Loew voted “Yes” in the chat*

#### JUVENILE STANDARDS REVISIONS TO SECTION 5.00 REGARDING PROVIDER CHOICE (Decision Item): (Attachment #5) Paige Brown, Juvenile Implementation Specialist and Theresa Weiss, JSR Chair

Paige Brown (Juvenile Implementation Specialist) reviewed the revisions to Section 5.00 of the Juvenile Standards as follows:

- Sunset Bill: Changes to Statute 16-11.7-105
  - Removed limit of 2 providers
  - Considerations for treatment matching
  - DD/ID Provider Requirement



- Language Considerations/Referral
- Revisions Process - Paige Brown (Juvenile Implementation Specialist) reviewed the revisions process indicated by the change in Statutory language.
- Proposed Revisions
  - Updated the use of “offender” with “Juvenile” throughout Section 5.00
  - Updated DYC (Division of Youth Corrections) with DYS (Division of Youth Services) throughout Section 5.00
  - Added a Discussion Point: *“The MDT should be mindful of the role a juvenile can serve in selecting their own treatment provider. Juveniles should be allowed to share information, provide their input, and shar their opinion. It may also be necessary to defer to a juvenile’s legal guardian for a decision. The MDT should be mindful that juveniles subject to this statutory provision represent a continuum of ages/developmental levels, and the juvenile’s capacity cognitive abilities, and general understanding should be considered by the team.”*
- Committee Discussions/Decisions
  - These revisions will be placed in Section 5.000, underneath the Responsibilities of the Supervising Agency section if the Board approved that revision to the Standards
  - Standard number to follow.

Paige Brown (Juvenile Implementation Specialist) noted that the ask from the Board is to send these revisions out for public comment or to send back to the Juvenile Standards Revisions Committee for further revisions.

**Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) made a motion to approve and send the Juvenile Standards Revisions to Section 5.00 out for public comment as presented.**

**Jeff Baker (SOMB Member) 2<sup>nd</sup> the motion.**

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Voting Session #252080**

**Motion to approve and send the Juvenile Standards Revisions to Section 5.00 out for public comment as presented: Lisa Mayer; Jeff Baker 2<sup>nd</sup> (Question #5)**

19	Approve	0	Oppose	0	Abstain	Motion Passes
----	---------	---	--------	---	---------	---------------

*Judge Loew voted “Yes” in the chat*

**LUNCH: 11:29 - 12:16**

**2025 ANNUAL LEGISLATIVE REPORT (Presentation): (No Attachment) - Dr. Rachael Collie, SOMB Staff Researcher and Jesse Hansen, ODVSOM Program Manager**

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) introduced the 2025 Annual Legislative report. He noted that work was started in late 2023 to capture the recidivism data. Jesse Hansen credited the Research Team and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky for their guidance along the way.

Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Staff Researcher) noted that there was a lot of accessibility parameters added to this report. She indicated the Colorado Department of Public Safety and the Governor’s office reviewed this report.

Rachael Collie (SOMB Staff Researcher) and Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program Manager) presented the following Legislative Report information:

- Accessibility Statement
- Background
- Report Overview
  - Executive Summary
  - Section 1 - Research & Evidence-based Practices
  - Section 2 - Relevant Policy Issues & Recommendations

- Section 3 - Milestones & Achievements
- Section 4 - Future Goals & Directions
- References
- Appendices

**Board Discussion:**

None

**Audience Discussion:**

Audience Member asked in the Chat: "It was stated. SOMB & Parole Board are working on guidelines for release for determinate sentences. Is any work being done for the indeterminates?" Dr. Rachael Collie (SOMB Data Analyst) responded that regarding the reauthorization requirements that work is being done with the Parole Board regarding indeterminate individuals.

Laurie Kepros (Audience Member) responded to the online question and noted that Appendix W has some of the information requested regarding those with indeterminate sentences, and noted to also review the Lifetime Supervision Report for information.

Jesse Hansen (ODVSOM Program manager) mentioned the methodology used for creating this report and what to do with this information and how this information will affect future Strategic Planning initiatives that may arise. He indicated that the SOMB should realize that the decisions made might have consequences. Jesse Hansen indicated that the SOMB can't say that their efforts are a cause for a reduction in recidivism, but noted the data shows that they are "less likely" to recidivate. He encouraged all to analyze the legislative report and to circulate it with colleagues.

**POLICY REGARDING THE USE OF INTERNS (Discussion): (Attachment #6) - Erin Austin, SOMB Implementation Specialist, and Dr. Carl Blake (Application Review Committee, Chair)**

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) introduced the Policy Regarding the Use of Intern's agenda item. She reviewed some of the historical qualifications previously in effect for Interns working with treatment providers. Erin Austin mentioned that there have been some concerns and complaints regarding the supervision of interns, and indicated that someone who is a social worker can supervise the interns but they may not have SOMB approval. She indicated the following policy information:


- *The SOMB recognizes that there are Approved Treatment Providers and Evaluators who are qualified and approved through their licensing boards to provide clinical supervision to interns. These individuals may also not always hold the status of SOMB Clinical Supervisor. Interns may have an SOMB-approved provider act as their Internship Supervisor as they are approved to supervise the day-to-day oversight of interns. Should the Internship Supervisor not be an SOMB Clinical Supervisor, the supervision agreement shall be signed by both parties. All work products completed by the intern (i.e., treatment plans, discharge summaries, evaluations, etc.), are required to be reviewed and signed by an approved SOMB clinical supervisor. The approved SOMB clinical supervisor shall ensure that any work product completed complies with SOMB standards.*

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) noted that the Application Review Committee (ARC) will review this oversight and indicated that it is unknown how this change in policy will affect the use of interns.

Erin Austin (SOMB Implementation Specialist) indicated that this policy is being brought to the Board for review and questions.

**BOARD MEETING ADJOURNS: 1:27 pm**

Respectfully,

 5/14/25  
Jill Trowbridge  
Program Assistant

Date

 5/14/25  
Kimberly Kline  
Chair of the SOMB

Date

Session Name: 03-21-2025 (Denver, GMT-06:00)

Date Created: (03/21/2025, 9:31)

Questions: 5

### Results Detail

Last Name	First Name	Q1 Motion to Approve the February 2025 Minutes as Presented	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
			Motion to Send the Adult Standards Revisions to Section 4.00 and the Criminal History Administrative Policies out for Public Comment as Presented	Motion to Send the Revisions to the Adult Standards Section 3.163 and 3.164 out for Public Comment as Presented	Motion to Approve the Revisions to the Juvenile Standards Section 10.00, 3.131, 5.00 and the Removal of appendix K as Presented	Motion to Send the Juvenile Standards Revisions to Section 5.00 out for Public Comment as Presented
Abeyta	Katie	1	1	1	1	1
Aguilar-Dave	Norma	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Baker	Jeff	1	1	1	1	1
Ballinger	Casey	1	1	1	1	1
Blake	Carl	1	1	**	1	1
Bourgeois	David	1	1	1	1	1
Croog	Sarah	1	1	1	1	1
Dotter	Jessica	1	**	1	**	**
Feltz	Nicole	1	1	1	1	1
Kline	Kim	1	1	1	1	1
Knotek	Mike	1	1	1	1	1
Mayer	Lisa	1	1	1	1	1
Miller	Allie	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Lamprecht	Jason	1	1	1	1	1
Loew	Priscilla	**	**	1	1	1
Luxen	Andrew	1	1	1	1	1
Powers	Taber	1	1	1	1	1
Retting	Amanda	1	1	1	1	1
Rivas	Lauren	3	1	1	1	1
Ruske	Katie	1	1	**	1	1
Simmons	Michelle	3	1	1	1	1
Weiss	Theresa	**	**	1	1	1
		17 - Yes 0 - No 2 - Abstain	17 - Yes 0 - No 0 - Abstain	18 - Yes 0 - No 0 - Abstain	19 - Yes 0 - No 0 - Abstain	19 - Yes 0 - No 0 - Abstain

**Answer Key:**

1 = Yes

2 = No

3 = Abstain

\*\* = Not present at time of vote